



# Orthopaedic Surgery for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome

Georgi PG<sup>1\*</sup>, Vesselin K<sup>2</sup> and Martin A<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Medical University of Sofia, Bulgaria

<sup>2</sup>Clinic of Neurology, National Heart Hospital, Bulgaria

<sup>3</sup>Medical University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

## Abstract

Carpal tunnel syndrome is the most commonly occurring mono neuropathy, affecting between 2% and 5% of the population and is more commonly present in women. Patients may experience a wide range of symptoms, the most frequent of which is a change in sensation or pain in the area of the arm, wrist, or forearm. The pathology is associated with the compression, clamping, or irritation of median nerve in the carpal tunnel. Diagnosis can be based on a medical examination, supported by electrophysiological and ultrasound results. Although spontaneous improvement is possible, in general symptoms worsen. Therefore, early recognition of carpal tunnel syndrome, through clinical and instrumental investigations, is essential for preventing disturbance of hand functions and permanent nerve damage. In the early stages of the disease, treatment is mostly conservative. In case conservative treatment fails, and in medium to severe forms of the disease, surgical intervention is performed. In the present paper we review the literature on the various techniques of operative treatment - open surgery, endoscopic and mini-invasive percutaneous technique under echo graphic control.

**Keywords:** Carpal tunnel; Orthopaedic Surgery; Surgical treatment

## Introduction

Carpal Tunnel Syndrome (CTS) is the most commonly occurring compressive mono neuropathy of the upper limb, affecting more than 5% of the general population and 6% of women over the age of 40 years [1]. The disease is about five times more commonly found in women. CTS may occur at any age, but most commonly affects patients aged between 40 and 65 years and those over 80 years of age [1,2]. CTS may be unilateral or affect both hands, although the bilateral manifestation is much more common: in 22% to 87% of patients, in most studies 60% of all cases [1]. CTS occur in 14% of diabetic patients without poly neuropathy and in those with diabetic poly neuropathy the incidence increases to 30% [3]. In pregnant women, the incidence varies from 7% to 62%, with complaints disappearing in most cases after birth [4].

CTS is the most common musculoskeletal disorder in most European countries, the United States and Canada. In the United Kingdom, the incidence of CTS is between 7% and 16%, which is much higher than in the United States - 5% [5]. In all Western European countries there has been an increase in work-related musculoskeletal disorders caused by overwork and recurrent unilateral movements. In Europe, more than 60% of the work-related musculoskeletal disorders of the upper limb are caused by CTS [6].

Surgical treatment is used in patients in whom conservative treatment is ineffective for pain relief, or with progressive motor deficits, severe sensory deficits, or severe electrophysiological abnormalities. Surgical treatment usually leads to the disappearance of the symptoms, or at least prevents subsequent clinical deterioration, particularly the development of motor deficiency. The first decompression of the Median Nerve (MN) was carried out by Herbert Galloway in 1924. Today surgical treatment of CTS is one of the most commonly performed surgical interventions in France, with around 140,000 cases reported annually. After 1990 there has been a significant increase in interventions. According to data from the French Agency for Hospital Information (ATIH), the number of cases has increased from 9537 in 1995 to 142,405 in 2005, with their number remaining constant in the coming years. By comparison, 576 924 such procedures were performed in the United States in 2006 [3].

Surgical treatment of CTS consists in the decompression of the carpal tunnel by the opening of the flexor retinaculum (retinaculotomy) by open or endoscopic surgical technique. The operation is

## OPEN ACCESS

### \*Correspondence:

Georgi PG, Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Medical University of Sofia, Bulgaria,

Tel: +359884 493523;

E-mail: georgievgp@yahoo.com

Received Date: 09 Nov 2018

Accepted Date: 30 Nov 2018

Published Date: 05 Dec 2018

### Citation:

Georgi PG, Vesselin K, Martin A. Orthopaedic Surgery for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. *Clin Surg*. 2018; 3: 2245.

**Copyright** © 2018 Georgi PG. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

usually performed under local anesthesia and with the application of a pneumatic tourniquet on the arm. In some cases, retinaculotomy is also associated with neurolysis, epineurotomy or synnectomy [7,8].

The aim of this paper is to review the literature on surgical techniques such as classical open decompression, endoscopic technique and new trends in surgical treatment of CTS, used by hand surgeons.

### Open (classic) surgical technique

This procedure uses a palmar longitudinal incision of about 3 cm to 4 cm in line with the fourth finger, without passing through the distal edge on the Kaplan line or the thenar crease, from the distal transversal skin fold of the wrist to the middle of the palm. The open operation requires an access of 4 cm to 5 cm. A mini-incision access has been described, where the required incision is 2 cm. Subcutaneous dissection is required in order to protect the superficial sensory branches, the opening of the Flexor Retinaculum (FR) is done using a scalpel. In 15% of people, a sensory branch at the distal end of the incision may intersect the operative field. Also the possibility of a transligamental passage of the motor branch for the muscles of the thenar must be verified. The superficial palmar artery at the distal and ulnar section of the incision must be located and protected. Under the protection of a mosquito clamp, the FR is slowly and gradually cut in a distal to proximal direction in the most ulnar part of FR [7].

MN is inspected. If the epineurium is fibrous, it is carefully cut along the constriction. Epineurotomy is performed under magnification. The cuff is relaxed and a hemostasis is performed. The skin stitches are made in one plane. Synnectomy of flexor tendons is not indicated, except in the case of reactive synovitis and in hemodialysis patients [7].

In a Bulgarian retrospective study of 292 patients with CTS, on the sixth month 83% of the patients had fully recovered, 13% had a good level of recovery and 4% had not recovered the functionality of their arm. Patients who did not achieve complete recovery had diabetes mellitus. An open operation was performed in all patients [9].

### Endoscopic technique

Endoscopic surgery was first demonstrated in the 1990s and is considered to be a safe technique that allows the reduction of morbidity and postoperative recovery time.

Endoscopic techniques have been introduced to reduce the length of the incision and thus potentially reduce post-operative discomfort. Several endoscopic systems have been described, but the risk of complications, including iatrogenic nerve damage, poor visualization, inability to identify anatomical variations, incomplete relief and lesser costs associated with this treatment are still being discussed. Supporters of endoscopic technique recommend courses on cadavers before performing it in clinical practice.

Several techniques have been described for the treatment of CTS, however only two have been validated in practice: the Agee's single-port technique and Chow's two-port technique.

### Single-port technique

Agee et al. [10] describe this technique for the first time. Under a tourniquet, with regional or local anesthesia, and a transversal access of 2 cm to 3 cm. in the area of the distal groove of the joint between the tendons of flexor carpi radialis and flexor carpi ulnaris muscles.

A U-shaped flap is formed on the distal-base of the forearm fascia. Using probes of different diameters, a tunnel is formed for a special endoscopic probe with visual control and a blade for retinaculotomy, which is dispensed distally from the ulnar side of the carpal tunnel radially by hamulus ossis hamati. The wrist is placed in a slightly extended position, and the probe with the blade is inserted into the inner edge of the FR, while the tip is palpated with the other hand. After localization of the distal edge of the FR, the blade opens and the FR is cut from distal to proximal and then re-visualized the cut retinaculum. Agee et al. [10] recommend in case of poor visibility to switch to an open operation.

### Two-port endoscopic technique

Chow [11] describes this technique for the first time. It is performed with local anesthesia and preferably by surgeon - with an esmarch. A marker shows the accesses. Initially, the first line is drawn by passing a line 1 cm to 1.5 cm radially from the proximal pillar of os pisiforme. A second line is drawn 0.5 cm proximal to the first line. From the end of the second line, a third line is made radially, about 1 cm in size. This line, namely, includes one access.

Several lines are used to locate distal access. The first one starts from the distal end of the fully abducted thumb, transversely to the palmar arm, and a vertical line in the third intercarpal space. At 1 cm, proximal to the intersection of the two lines, a distance of about 0.5 cm is made. Through proximal access, in depth, it penetrates through a longitudinal section of the fascia and locates the proximal end of FR. A curved cannula dissector is passed through the forearm axis to release synovial tissue from the lower surface of the FR. The hand is lifted from the table, the wrists and fingers being hyper extensive. The assembled portal is moved in a distal direction, the tip being palpated at the exit portal in the palm. The endoscope is inserted proximal and moved distally to identify the distal end of the FR. The blade in the probe is used to release the distal edge of FR in distal to proximal direction. A triangular blade is used to release the middle portion of the FR, and then a retrograde blade is positioned through the distal access and is drawn distally to join the first cut, the aim being to release the entire distal portion of the FR. The endoscope is drawn from proximal and is moved through the distal access, and the probe blade is used to release the proximal end of the FR. The retrograde blade is placed in the middle of the FR and is drawn proximally until its full release. The forearm fascia is released through the proximal incision with scissors and then the skin is sutured [12].

In the long term, efficacy in terms of recovery after six months and reduction of complaints is the same for both techniques. Studies comparing endoscopic to classic open surgery show that the smaller the access is, the fewer the complications are and the faster the recovery. Hamed et al. [13] in a study of 40 patients compared the technique by two incisions (limited palmar and mini-incision at the level of the distal armpit of the forearm) with conventional open surgery. The authors conclude that there is a significant reduction in postoperative pain and scar sensitivity in favour of the mini-invasive technique.

### Ultrasound guided minimally invasive technique

In recent years, imaging, and in particular ultrasound, has played an increasing role in the diagnosis and treatment of CTS [2,14]. Following the establishment of ultrasound criteria for reliable diagnosis of CTS and the possibility it gives for the assessment of the structure of the carpal tunnel and the possible presence of formations

or variations, the use of ultrasound imaging in the treatment of CTS has become a fully justified option [15-19].

The most important reason for the development of this new technique is its minimally invasive nature. The open operation requires an access of 4 cm to 5 cm, the mini-incision - of 2 cm, the endoscopic of 1 cm to 2 cm, while under ultrasound control the access is up to 10 times smaller - in the range of 0.1 cm to 0.5 cm.

In 1997, Nakamichi et al. [20] proposed the use of ultrasound imaging during the classical open surgery. Over time, several teams have been able to prove that it is possible to perform the operation only under ultrasound guidance [3,19].

Petrover et al. [21] presented for the first time a minimally invasive ultrasound-guided technique. The patient's arm is placed in a supinated position on the operating table, without a tourniquet, under strictly aseptic conditions and local anaesthesia. Needles 25G and 21G, scalpel No15, and a hook-like knife with a retrograde blade are required. Initially, through echography, the safety zone between the ulnar artery and the MN, the superficial palmar arc, as well as variations of the motor branch was localized. Numerous ulnar nerve variations, as well as the possible passage of the MN motor branch through the FR, are the most important risk factors for iatrogenic lesions [22,23]. Initially, local anesthesia is applied to the proximal groove of the wrist, while a second deeper infiltration with Xylocaine 1% allows for better hydro dissection of the carpal tunnel. Through a pinch-incision with the tip of the scalpel, the hook-like blade is inserted, under ultrasound control under the FR until it reaches a few millimeters distally from the FR, with care being taken to avoid the MN, the beginning of its sensory branches, and the Berrettini branch. The hook is then turned vertically and the FR is cut in a retrograde direction under ultrasound control. Operating time averages 5.8 +/- 2.4 minutes, depending on the anatomy and the time required for adequate anesthesia. A post-operative compressive bandage is recommended, as well as rest and, if necessary, non-opioid analgetics [21].

The bandage is removed on the third day and the postoperative clinical examination on the sixth day shows a complete healing of the millimeter incision in all patients. Petrover et al. have not observed any complications [21].

## Conclusion

In case conservative treatment for CTS fails, surgical decompression of the MN is recommended. Numerous techniques for decompression have been described, ranging from open classic surgery to various mini-incision techniques, endoscopic decompression to minimally-invasive percutaneous ultrasound-guided technique. A comparison of the surgical procedures shows that the smaller the access, the faster the patient returns to the workplace, but the rate of iatrogenic lesions is greater. Although the release of the MN is the goal of the treatment, possible injury to even a few nerve fascicles may have adverse effects on the patient. The surgeon should choose the most appropriate surgical method which offers the best visualization of the nerve in order to avoid further damage, taking into account the operator's knowledge and experience as well as the specifics of each individual patient.

## References

1. Dec P, Zyluk A. Bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome - A review. *Neurol Neurochir Pol.* 2018;52(1):79-83.

2. Karabinov V, Georgiev GP. Carpal tunnel syndrome – frequent and underestimated condition. *MD J.* 2017;4:75-78.
3. Petrover D, Richette P. Treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome: from ultrasonography to ultrasound guided carpal tunnel release. *Joint Bone Spine.* 2017;85(5):545-52.
4. Osterman M, Ilyas AM, Matzon JL. Carpal tunnel syndrome in pregnancy. *Orthop Clin North Am.* 2012;43(4):515-20.
5. Aroori S, Spence RA. Carpal tunnel syndrome. *Ulster Med J.* 2008;77(1):6-17.
6. Ibrahim I, Khan WS, Goddard N, Smitham P. Carpal tunnel syndrome: a review of the recent literature. *The Open Orthop J.* 2012;6:69-76.
7. Matev I. Decompression of n medianus in carpal canal. In: Holeyich J, editor. *Boychev's operative orthopedics and traumatology.* 1<sup>st</sup> Ed. Sofia: Med and Fis. 1983;264.
8. Matev B. Operative treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome - modern trends. *Bulg J Orthop Traumatol.* 2001;36(4):550-1.
9. Georgiev GP, Karabinov V, Matev B, Iliev A, Kotov G, Landzhov B. Carpal tunnel syndrome treatment with open surgical release: a study in 292 patients. *Acta Morphol Anthropol.* 2017;24:76-81.
10. Agee JM, McCarroll HR, Tortosa RD, Berry DA, Szabo RM, Peimer CA. Endoscopic release of the carpal tunnel: a randomized prospective multicenter study. *J Hand Surg Am.* 1992;17:987-95.
11. Chow JC. Endoscopic carpal tunnel release. Two-portal technique. *Hand Clin.* 1994;10(4):637-46.
12. Szabo R. Entrapment and compression neuropathies. In: Green DP, Pedersen W, Hotchkiss RN, eds. *Operative Hand Surgery.* 4<sup>th</sup> ed. St Louis: Churchill Livingstone. 1998;1417-46.
13. Hamed AR, Makki D, Chari R, Packer G. Double - versus single-incision technique for open carpal tunnel release. *Orthopedics.* 2009;32(10):10.
14. Georgiev GP, Karabinov V, Kotov G, Iliev A. Medical ultrasound in the evaluation of the carpal tunnel: a critical review. *Cureus.* 2018;10(10):e3487.
15. Georgiev GP, Jevlev L, Stokov L. Anomalous muscle of the wrist. Case report. *Health and Sci.* 2011;1:17-8.
16. Georgiev GP, Jevlev L, Surchev L. Reversed palmaris longus muscle simulating soft-tissue mass as a possible cause of median nerve compression. *Bulg J Orthop Traumatol.* 2008;45:92-4.
17. Fried SM, Nazarian LN. Ultrasound-guided Hydroneurolysis of the median nerve for recurrent carpal tunnel syndrome. *Hand.* 2018.
18. Gruber L, van Holsbeeck MT, Khoury V, Deml C, Gabl MF, Jaschke W, et al. Compliance assessment and flip-angle measurement of the median nerve: sonographic tools for carpal tunnel syndrome assessment? *Eur Radiol.* 2018.
19. Guo XY, Xiong MX, Lu M, Cheng XQ, Wu YY, Chen SY, et al. Ultrasound-guided needle release of the transverse carpal ligament with and without corticosteroid injection for the treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome. *J Orthop Surg Res.* 2018;13:69.
20. Nakamichi K, Tachibana S. Ultrasonographically assisted carpal tunnel release. *J Hand Surg Am.* 1997;22(5):853-62.
21. Petrover D, Silvera J, De Baere T, Vigan M, Hakime A. Percutaneous ultrasound guided carpal tunnel release – study upon clinical efficacy and safety. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2017;40(4):568-75.
22. Petrover D, Bellity J, Vigan M, Nizard R, Hakime A. Ultrasound imaging of the thenar motor branch of the median nerve: a cadaveric study. *Eur Radiol.* 2017;27(11):4883-8.
23. Smith J, Barnes DE, Barnes KJ, Strakowski JA, Lachman N, Kakar S, et al. Sonographic visualization of thenar motor branch of the median nerve: a cadaveric validation study. *PMR.* 2017;9(2):159-69.