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Abstract

Short stem arthroplasty of the hip is still controversial discussed. There are currently only a few mid and long-term results for short stem hip arthroplasties published. The goal of this retrospective single center study was to analyze the clinical and radiological midterm results of the Mayo® short stem prosthesis in terms of subjective patient’s satisfaction, implant positioning and long term stability. There were 51 patients with 61 Mayo® hip replacements included which were performed from 2000 to 2003 based on osteoarthritis. The Harris Hip Score (HHS) and Forgotten Joint Score (FJS) were used to measure the patient’s satisfaction, hip function and awareness of the hip joint every day’s life. Based on radiographs leg length, stem positioning, femoral offset and Center of Rotation (COR) were proved. The occurrence of radiolucent lines and heterotypic ossifications were noted.

The mean follow up was 164 months. For the HHS, an average of 84 points and for the FJS an average of 68% was measured. There was no leg length discrepancy and a mean stem position was 1,6° valgus. The femoral offset increased by an average of 6 mm. The COR was medialized by an average of 6 mm. In 14 hips, radiolucent lines appeared in Gruen-Zones 1, 2, 3 and/or 7. Heterotypic ossifications were detected in 27 Mayo® stems at stage 1 to 3 using Brooker classification. The Mayo® prosthesis is a reliable stem with comparable midterm results compared to conventional stems. That’s why it should be used for the treatment of younger patients.

Introduction

The total hip arthroplasty is one of the most successful surgical procedures in medicine worldwide. The number of treatments is increasing because of good long-term stability and high patient’s satisfaction. In Germany, this operation is one of the 20th most common interventions with about 230,000 procedures per year and the number of surgeries increases every year. The number of revision procedures is increasing as well to 9,5% in 2011 [1,2].

Studies with a mean follow up of 15 years shows a survival rate of metaphyseal and metadiaphyseal anchored stem systems about 95% to 98% [3]. For that reason the use of short stems in younger and active patients gets more and more frequent increasing quality of life [4]. The portion of patients with an age under 60 years undergoing a THR is nearly 20% [5]. The decreasing mean age of the patients will lead to an increasing number of revision procedures. Therefore, it is essential to use implants with a proximal/metaphyseal load transfer to preserve the bone of the proximal femur during the primary implantation. For these younger patients, the short stem hip arthroplasty was developed. It should reduce stress shielding of the proximal femur and leads to bone stock preservation. In case of revision surgery received bone stock permits the use of anatomical or distal fixed primary implants. Furthermore, short stem prosthesis appropriate for minimally invasive procedures. On the other hand, a shorter fixation could due to lower primary implant stability. To receive a long-term and of bone-preserving stem fixation with shorter anchorage make high demands on the stem design [4].

The Mayo® short stem (Zimmer Inc., Warsaw, USA) was developed in 1985 at the Mayo-Clinic (Rochester, USA) and performed at the Martin Luther University of Halle-Wittenberg (Germany) since 2000. It is cement less short stem hip prosthesis with a double conical design in A/P and M/L plane ensuring immediate primary fixation of the prosthesis with a proximal/metaphyseal load transfer [5]. It is made of a Titanium-Aluminium-Vanadium alloy and has a fibre-mesh-structure proximally [6]. The simple stem preparation leads to a shorter surgical time. The intra- and postoperative blood loss is reduced significantly. The reduction of the soft tissue damage improves postoperative mobilization and rehabilitation as well as reduces postoperative pain [4].
In osteodensitometry an increasing bone density of the calcar femoris could be demonstrated. This leads to reduced stress shielding and bone atrophy. The principles of the metaphyseal load transfer were confirmed using the Mayo® stem [7].

Short stem arthroplasty of the hip is still controversial discussed. There are follow ups of five and seven years from Cruz-Vazquez et al. and Tadeusz et al. [8,9] with a good mid-term stability. But up to now long term results for short stem hip arthroplasty-especially of the Mayo® short stem - are not available.

**Material and Methods**

The goal of this retrospective single center study was to analyze mid-term results of the MAYO® short stem prosthesis (Zimmer Inc., Warsaw, USA). We included 51 patients with 61 Mayo® hip replacements, performed at the Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg (Germany) from January 2000 to April 2003. We measured subjective patient’s satisfaction, implant positioning and long term stability.

We recorded date of surgery, gender, age, height and body weight, calculated Body Mass Index (BMI).

The subjective patient’s satisfaction related to pain and hip function was measured (1=very satisfied up to 5=very dissatisfied). The patients were asked if they would agree to the hip replacement again from today’s perspective. The answer options were ‘yes’, ‘maybe’ and ‘no’. The Harris Hip Score (HHS) measured the objective patient’s satisfaction and hip function. The Forgotten Joint Score (FJS) recorded the awareness of the hip joint in every day’s life. In 32 hips, the FJS measured 80% to 100%. This means, that 68% of the patients don’t aware their hip joint in every day’s life. In 32 hips, the FJS measured 80% to 100%. The length discrepancy was measured with an average of -0.1 mm (-18mm to + 14 mm). In 28 hips a leg extension (1 mm to 14 mm), in 25 hips a leg shortening (1 mm to 18 mm) and only in 8 hips were no leg length discrepancy detected.

The stem alignments of all 61 hips were calculated mean 1.6° valgus. 35 stems were implanted in a valgus position (0.9° to 11.6°) and 17 stems in a varus position (0.4° to 7.6°). In only 9 hips there were a neutral alignment measured. The femoral offset was reduced in 15 hips (1 mm to 26 mm) and increased in 46 hips (1 mm to 17 mm). In comparison to preoperative offset (an average of 36 mm) and postoperative offset (an average of 42 mm) the femoral offset increased averaged 6 mm. The COR was medialized in 52 cases and laterialized in 31 cases. The horizontal COR was reduced from 36 mm to 30 mm and the vertical COR was increased from 16 mm to 17 mm. The location of the horizontal COR was medialized averaged 6 mm. The location of the vertical COR wasn’t change (an average of 1 mm).

In 14 cases (23%), radiolucent lines appeared in GruenZones 1, 2, 3 and/or 7. There were no radiolucent lines in 47 cases (77 %). They mostly occurred in GruenZones 1 and 7. In one case, radiolucent lines were seen simultaneously in the Gruen-Zones 1 and 2 and in another case in the GruenZones 1 and 7. Heterotopic ossifications were detected in 27 Mayo® stems (44 %) at stage 1 to 3 using Brooker classification. In 34 cases (56 %), there were no heterotopic ossifications. There was a cumulated occurrence of heterotopic ossifications at stage 1 and 2.
We found correlations between some of the single parameters which are shown below.

There is a dependency between pain and function satisfaction and the body mass index. An increasing BMI is related to lower satisfaction in pain and function. A correlation between a decreasing Harris Hip and Forgotten Joint Score is associated with increasing BMI. Obese patients are more aware of their artificial hip joint in everyday life than regular-weight patients.

An increasing leg shortening as well as a lengthening led to a lower Harris Hip Score. Leg shortening is also combined with lower Forgotten Joint Score. Patients with a postoperative leg length shortening have a higher awareness of the presence of their artificial hip joint.

Both, an increasing varus or valgus stem positioning was accompanied by a decrease of the Harris Hip Score. In patients with valgus stem position we measured a higher Harris Hip Score compared to patients with a varus stem position. Moreover, the Forgotten Joint Score was higher in patients with a neutral or valgus stem positioning than in patients with varus stem positioning. An increasingly varus stem position increases the awareness of the existing hip replacement.

Furthermore, no correlation between HHS and surgery-related offset change could be determined. Patients with a postoperative offset reduction achieved only a slightly higher Harris Hip Score than patients with an offset magnification. But there is a dependency between an offset change and a decrease in the total value of the Forgotten Joint Score. The decrease of the FJS was significantly more pronounced in an offset reduction than in an offset magnification. Patients with postoperative offset magnification are less aware of their articular joints than patients with an offset reduction.

There is an increase of the Harris Hip Score with increasing medialization and/or cranialization, as well as a decrease in the HHS with increasing lateralization and/or caudalization of the COR. A higher percentage of the Forgotten Joint Score could also be determined with increasing medialization and/or cranialization, as well as a low percentage with increasing lateralization and/or caudalization of the COR.

Furthermore, there is a correlation between a varus stem positioning and leg length extension as well as a valgus stem positioning and leg length shortening. There is also a strong correlation between a valgus stem positioning and offset reduction, as well as a varus stems positioning and offset increase. Neither a postoperative medialization nor a postoperative lateralization of the COR led to a significant change of the stem alignment. But a correlation between the postoperative vertical COR and the stem alignment could be determined. An increasing postoperative cranialization of the vertical center of rotation was associated with an increased valgus stem positioning.

Moreover, there is a dependency for a surgery-related change of the horizontal center of rotation and an offset change. An increasing medialization of the horizontal center of rotation due to an enlargement of the femoral offset. There could be no correlation established between a surgery-related change of the vertical center of rotation and an offset change.

There is no significant gender-specific accumulation of radiolucent lines and no correlation between BMI and radiolucent lines. But radiolucent lines were observed frequently at neutral and valgus stem alignment.

There is a correlation between the male sex and a reduced patient’s functional satisfaction and the occurrence of periarticular ossifications. However, no correlation between the BMI and the occurrence of periarticular ossifications could be determined.

**Discussion**

The high patient’s satisfaction rate after short stem total hip arthroplasty is described by different authors. Wittenberg et al. documented in 85% very satisfied patients out of 85 Metha® stems. Tadeusz et al. described for the Mayo® stem very good results after 7 years as well [10,7].

The midterm results of different short stems as well as conventional stems are also comparable with the good results in Harris Hip and Forgotten Joint Score presented in our study. A certain bias of the findings resulted from the fact that the results of the scores in the patients with double-sided hip prosthesis implantation were also considered twice in the evaluation. There was also a reduction in the objectively measured overall percentage of the FJS due to subjective complaints of other diseases of the musculoskeletal system, for example by a degenerative spinal column or a gonarthrosis.
With the implantation of a Mayo® short stem the leg length could be reconstructed well. The leg length extension after the implantation of a hip end prosthesis, which has been described and discussed frequently in the literature, could not be confirmed.

In this study, there is a variation of shortening up to 18 mm and lengthening up to 14 mm. In the case of shortening of the leg length preoperatively there was fulminant femoral head destruction due to a femur head necrosis with a clearly cranialized center of rotation. In the case of the leg length extension only the X-ray image of the non-replaced contra lateral hip joint was available for the evaluation of the preoperative situation. A possible cause for the leg length difference could not be determined here.

Leg length modification can also be influenced by other factors such as the implant design factors (length of the head implant, CCD angle, stem offset) as well as axis of the implanted stem and the position of the vertical center of rotation (cup implantation). A postoperative leg length discrepancy depends decisively on the preoperative planning, implant selection and position.

The average valgus stem position in this study was only partly comparable with the current literature. In a study of 32 implanted Mayo® stems from Kamada et al. [11] a valgus stem position was described in comparison to the none treated opposite side. Wittenberg et al. [10] described in the five-year follow up of 250 Metha® stems a neutral shaft position (130° to 140°) in 74,6%. In the follow up of 202 Nans® stems Ettinger et al. [12] reported a change in the CCD angle from 133,8° preoperatively to 134,6° postoperatively.

There seems to be a different implantation behavior of single short stem systems. Furthermore, there is a greater variance between a varus and valgus stem positioning, especially in short stems. Further studies with a focus on the anatomical hip remain to be seen. There was a good reconstruction of the femoral offset (average offset magnification of 6 mm) after the implantation of a Mayo® stem. Investigations by John Charnley have shown that an offset magnification extends the lever arm of the abductor muscles and reduces the required muscle strength, which due to a decrease in joint loading. By an offset magnification, the dislocation rate and the impingement risk are also reduced by an increased soft tissue tensioning. Moreover, the ROM is increased. A reduced offset caused a limping gait (Trendelenburg limping) due to the abductor weakness as well as lateral hip pain and leads to instability and subluxation [13,14]. Little et al. [15] described in a 49 months f/u of 43 uncemented total hips a decreasing wear rate in case of offset magnification less than 5 mm. In a follow-up of 17 patients with a bilateral THR (same implant designs with different offsets), an increased PE wear rate in the group with larger offsets was shown by Sakalkale et al. [16] after 5,7 years f/u. Kleemann et al. [17] described an increasing risk of implant failure with increasing femoral offset (max. +5 %). Thien et al. [18] also documented an increased risk of revision with increasing stem offset magnification. Due to the above-mentioned advantages of an offset magnification, the recommendation for a postoperative offset magnification of up to 5 mm can be given. This recommendation could be met in this study.

The current literature provides considerably different results for the postoperative femoral offset after the implantation of a total hip replacement, so that there appears to be a correlation between offset and stem design. However, a reduction of the femoral offset can also be caused by a medialization of the cup position. The femoral offset is also influenced by a lateralization of the trochanter major and an extension of the prosthesis neck with an accompanying extension of the leg length.

In 2011 Dastane et al. [19] described a cranialization of the center of rotation up to 6 mm and a medialization of the center of rotation up to 5 mm as an acceptable reconstruction. We showed with the presented results a COR medialization on average 6 mm and canalization on average 1 mm using a Mayo® short stem. Based on findings of Dastane et al. with the Mayo® short stem the COR can be optimally reconstructed. The influence of the cup position on the horizontal and vertical center of rotation must to be considered again.

To prove the long-term stability of the Mayo® short stem prosthesis, the appearance of radiolucent lines was examined. In the current literature, the frequent occurrence of radiolucent lines, especially in the Gruen Zones 1 and 7, is described in short stems and in conventional stem prostheses as well [20,21].

The reason for this accumulated occurrence seems to be micro motions caused by the proximal load transfer. In the absence of progression of the existing radiolucent lines an impact of long term stability is not expected.

In this study the occurrence of PAO was observed in stage 1 and 2 using Brooker classification. In the current literature, the occurrence of a periarticular ossification is also described in stage 1 and 2 both in short stems and in conventional stem prostheses [10,21,22].

The cause for a PAO still does not seem to be clarified yet. The occurrence of a PAO was observed above all in the first postoperative year. It seems that stem design is not a predicting factor for appearance of PAO but there are correlations between PAO and intraoperative soft tissue damage caused by lateral or anterolateral surgical approaches [23-25].

These results of this retrospective mono center study could show that the midtermd results of the Mayo® short prosthesis are comparable with results of conventional stems.
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