Asymptomatic Pancreatic Masses: An Overview
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**Editorial**

Nowadays pancreatic masses are more and more frequently detected. In the last decades the advances in radiological techniques and the wide diffusion of cross-sectional diagnostic imaging led to an increasingly number of asymptomatic pancreatic masses detection. In few years the use of Computed Tomography (CT) imaging has increased noticeably. A significant proportion of this boost is related to its role in the diagnosis, particularly in acute care environment: the use of CT in the emergency department has increase by 4 fold in the last decade [1].

Currently intravenous contrast-enhanced multi detector CT is considered the fundamental technique for the detection and the staging of pancreatic tumor allowing the definition of size, localization, texture and vascularization of the mass. For pancreatic imaging several authors suggest a high-resolution biphasic CT scan with a late arterial phase (performed 20–40 seconds after contrast injection) and a portal venous phase. Indeed the late arterial phase, named also pancreatic phase, seems to allow a better definition of the pancreatic gland [2].

Unlike CT scan, there is a wide variability among Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) protocols for pancreatic lesions. A complete pancreatic MRI study includes pre- and post-Gadolinium T1 weighted sequences, T2-weighted sequences with fat suppression, and Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP). The advantages of this cross-sectional technique are optimal soft tissue-contrast resolution, accurate assessment of the internal structure of cystic lesions, and detailed study of pancreatic ducts [3].

The use of these imaging techniques allows an excellent characterization of the asymptomatic masses, the so called “pancreatic incidentalomas”, and an ever more frequent discovery of unusual pancreatic tumors [4,5].

Asymptomatic pancreatic cystic masses frequently present a benign or premalignant nature while solid masses are usually considered malignant tumors (Figure 1).

In the preoperative work-up of a cystic lesion is essential to differentiate a cystic neoplasm from an inflammatory pseudocyst. A history of acute pancreatitis, upper abdominal pain or blunt trauma can help in the differential diagnosis. Endoscopic Ultrasonography (EUS) with cyst fluid analysis may support the diagnosis of pseudocysts [6].

Serous cystic neoplasm (SNC) appears as a cystic lesion consisting on several thin-walled spaces filled by serous fluid, frequently arranged around a central dense tissue. SNC is usually considered a benign lesion due to a very low rate of malignant progression (about 3%). Serous cystadenoma may occur as oligocystic variant in 7% to 20% of patients and this form is difficult to differentiate from mucinous neoplasms [7]. Indeed the microcystic variant of SNC can mimic a solid mass at imaging for the presence of numerous inner fibrous septa (Figure 2). A conservative approach is appropriate for these pancreatic lesions; surgery should be considered in case of large tumors (size >4 cm) or when preoperative exams are not conclusive [8].

Mucin-producing cystic pancreatic tumors are frequently incidental. Mucinous Cystic Neoplasms (MCN) are thick-walled septated macrocystic lesions more frequently located in body-tail region of the pancreas and almost exclusively affect middle-aged women [9]. MCNs are characterized by the absence of communication with the ductal system and the presence of columnar mucin-producing epithelium supported by ovarian-type stroma [10]. Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasms (IPMN) is intraductal tumors characterized by papillary proliferation of the...
epithelium and mucin hypersecretion that causes a cystic dilatation of the ductal system. IPMNs often occur in the head of the pancreas, they are more frequent in the seventh decade of life showing an equal gender distribution [9]. The communication between the cystic tumor and the pancreatic ducts is a specific feature of this type of neoplasm. IPMNs are subdivided in main duct type and branch duct type according to the site of origin. Mucin-producing cystic pancreatic tumors are considered premalignant lesions because they can degenerate in invasive carcinoma [9]. Peripheral calcifications, irregular septa, intramural nodules or dilatation of the main duct are findings frequently associated with malignant histology. Surgical resection is mandatory for MCN and main duct type IPMN; small branch duct type IPMN (<3 cm) can be observed with a radiologic follow-up [10].

Solid Pseudopapillary Neoplasm (SPPN) is a rare pancreatic tumor affecting predominantly young women. This neoplasm is solitary large mass (8 cm to 10 cm) consisting of a solid component alternating cystic spaces originated by intratumoral hemorrhage. SPPN is a slow growing neoplasm with low malignant potential and surgical resection is considered resolutive [11]. The cystic variant of Neuroendocrine Tumor (NET) is very rare and represent 2% to 20% of all endocrine pancreatic neoplasms. Cystic NETs are usually non-functioning small lesions. CT scan shows homogenous hyperenhancing pancreatic mass, frequently surrounded by a hyperenhancing rim. Conservative resection is recommended for these lesions [12].

In the preoperative work-up of a solid pancreatic mass it is essential to exclude focal pancreatitis and intraparenchymal spleen, two benign lesions that can simulate solid neoplasm of the body and tail [13].

Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma (PDAC) and pancreatic NET are the most frequent histotypes detected in solid pancreatic incidentalomas. NETs represent 2% of all pancreatic malignancies and their incidence has increased 2 to 3 fold in the past decades [14]. The majority of endocrine neoplasms are non-functional with a more frequent location in the tail of the gland [15]. They typically appear as hyperintense pancreatic masses at CT scan. EUS can be useful in the detection and characterization of pancreatic NETs. Preoperative dosage of serum chromogranine A and somatostatin receptor scintigraphy can support NET diagnosis [14]. Recently a non-operative management has been proposed for incidentally discovered small non-functioning pancreatic NETs [16]. However large series demonstrated that even endocrine tumors with size <2 cm may develop local or distant disease progression and the surgical resection is associated with a better survival (Figure 3). Consequently the majority of the authors suggest that these pancreatic lesions should be resected [15].

Acinar Cell Carcinoma (ACC) is a rare pancreatic malignancy (2% of all pancreatic tumors) affecting predominantly patients in the sixth decade of life. ACC presents as a bulky mass or multinodular lesion. The tumor is composed by uniform malignant cells arranged in small glandular units secreting low quantities of exocrine enzymes. Prognosis of ACC seems to be slightly better than PDAC although 5-year survival rate is low [17].

A relevant proportion of incidentally discovered solid pancreatic lesions are PDACs. In large series of pancreatic incidentalomas malignant lesions were very frequent (30% to 35%) [18,19]. Even if PDAC is overall more frequent in the head of the gland (about 80%), in asymptomatic patients it seems to prefer the distal pancreas [13,20]. PDACs discovered incidentally are detected at earlier stages than symptomatic lesions; it is debated whether early diagnosis means a better prognosis [18].

Surgical resection is considered the standard treatment of asymptomatic pancreatic solid lesions. Conversely, the management of cystic masses is complex due to the high rate of benign lesions: size >3 cm, main duct dilatation >10 mm, presence of mural nodules and cytology suspicious for malignancy are indications for surgery [13]. Furthermore the detection of an asymptomatic complex mass with solid and cystic components imposes a surgical resection.
Conclusion

In conclusion the wide diffusion of cross-sectional radiologic techniques in the last decades has allowed the diagnosis of a large variety of pancreatic asymptomatic lesions. In many cases these incidental masses are rare tumors with more favorable prognosis than PDAC. Nevertheless, the suspicion of malignant lesions must be high and surgical exploration still remains necessary in the majority of cases.
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