Laparoscopic Mesh Technique as Surgical Treatment for an Abdomino-Perineal Amputation Postoperative Perineal Hernia, To Flap or Not?
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Abstract

Perineal hernia is a rare but well-known complication after major pelvic surgery such as abdominoperineal amputation. There are several surgical approaches for the repair of this defect, such as transabdominal (laparoscopic or open), transperineal or combined. We present a case in which we expose some important aspects to consider whether or not we should perform a peritoneal flap in the laparoscopic approach with nonabsorbable visceral contact mesh placement as a surgical treatment of the perineal incisional hernia in a patient operated previously of an abdomino-perineal laparoscopic amputation due to adenocarcinoma of the rectum. We believe that this technique offers good results and is an effective alternative approach to the transabdominal and transperineal open approaches.

Materials and Methods

A 66-year-old woman who as background had a breast cancer surgery in 2006 without recurrence and in 2015 an abdominoperineal laparoscopic amputation due to rectal adenocarcinoma (T4N1M0), receiving neoadjuvant and adjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy cycles. She described mass sensation in the perineal area, some constipation and discomfort when sitting. In CT controls, two and one year prior to surgery for perineal hernia, occupation of the presacral space of fibrosis and relaxation of the pelvic floor with cystocele, peritoneocele and enterocele were described. Without other relevant background she was scheduled for laparoscopic transabdominal...
perineal eventroplasty.

**Results**

Laparoscopic intervention was developed. During the procedure, an adhesional small bowel compound and an intense fibrosis of the lower pelvis with venous dilatation of peritoneal vessels were founded. The peritoneum of the Douglas pouch was unwoven and towards the pelvic peritoneum of the laterals with incidental opening of the bladder which was repaired with continuous synthetic suture that was covered with all the peritoneum detached before (Douglas and lateral pelvic peritoneum) which at the same time covers the entire defect placing an Omyra (visceral contact mesh) mesh over the minor pelvis. Patient was discharged without problems and after two months from surgery there wasn’t any sign of recurrence and an improvement of life quality since it was developed was experienced.

**Discussion**

Secondary perineal hernias are infrequent complications of major pelvic surgery with a higher prevalence in cancer surgeries that present as asymptomatic symptoms associated with risk factors such as smoking, women, chemotherapy, among others [2,4,7,8]. The approximate time interval between the Surgery and the appearance of the perineal incision is 6 months to 5 years. In this case, the patient presented as risks female sex, a rectal tumor also treated with chemotherapy and radiotherapy [2,4,6-8]. Usually the surgery is left for those symptomatic patients (pain, obstructive symptoms, cutaneous erosion before the rose of the hernia when sitting, etc) and this entails the reduction of the hernia by mobilizing the handles of the sac, closing the defect with or without a prosthetic mesh. These techniques range goes from the repair with synthetic mesh (which are mostly used in wide defects providing a new pelvic floor), flaps of skin muscle rotation and fascia lata, omentoplasties [2,4,6]. The type of mesh is also important because in the cases of hydrophilic meshes, these reduce the risk of adhesions with the viscera and the synthetic non-resorbable polyester provides a prolonged sustenance of the pelvic floor. Being a post-surgical complication that is not very prevalent, there is no consensus regarding the most appropriate therapeutic approach [4]. We believe that in those patients who have received treatment with radiotherapy and that significant fibrosis of the pelvic structures is associated, it is better to place the mesh with visceral contact without performing peritoneal detachment since the destructuration of this pelvic space implies an increased risk of injury to adjacent organs (bladder, seminal vesicles, prostate, vagina, etc.) leaving the peritoneal flap as an alternative to those with a more favorable anatomy. We have had good results with the use of this mesh but a longer follow-up is necessary.

**Conclusion**

Abdominal-perineal amputation is a widely spread surgery in all healthcare insurance systems worldwide and an unusual but important complication is the incisional hernia. Since in some cases surgical approach is the only effective treatment to the symptoms (abdominal pain, intestinal obstruction, skin erosions, etc.) we demonstrated with this case that laparoscopic repair is a safe surgical procedure with good results in which depending on the characteristics of the pelvis we can choose to perform or not a peritoneal flap, prioritizing the safety of the patient.
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