Isolated Sphenoid Sinus Pathology: Retrospective Analysis of 7 Cases
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Abstract

Objectives: To investigate the patients who underwent endoscopic sphenoid sinus surgery for isolated sphenoid sinus disease. We also evaluated the clinical characteristics and management skills of our department in isolated sphenoid pathologies.

Design: Retrospective analysis of case series.

Material and Methods: 7 patients who underwent endoscopic sphenoid sinus surgery for isolated sphenoid sinus disease. Clinical characteristics and main symptoms of patients, physical examination, radiologic findings and management approaches were investigated retrospectively.

Results: We identified 7 cases of isolated sphenoid sinus disease between January 2015 and January 2016. 3 cases with fungal infection of sphenoid sinus, 2 cases with sphenochoanal polyp and 2 cases with mucocele were treated by endoscopic sphenoidotomy.

Conclusion: Isolated sphenoid sinus pathologies are rare clinical entities. Diagnosis is based on the history, endoscopic examination and computed tomography findings. Endoscopic sphenoidotomy is a safe and easy treatment option and represented the gold standard for this disease at the present time.
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Introduction

The sphenoid sinus was defined as the neglected sinus by van Alyea, in 1941 because of its isolated position and difficult accessibility [1]. However, sphenoid sinus disease has been reported increasingly in recent medical literature. Isolated sphenoid sinus pathology is a rare clinical condition among patients with paranasal sinus disease, reported incidence between 1%-3% [2]. Because of the location and difficult accessibility of sinus is suggested to make it less accessible to infectious agents.

Isolated sphenoid sinus lesions most commonly present with headache, ophthalmological and nasal symptoms. Delayed diagnosis may occur due to its nonspecific symptoms [3]. However, there are many vital structures, including the middle cranial fossa, internal carotid artery, optic nerve and optic chiasm, cavernous sinus, brain, meninges and cranial nerves adjacent to sphenoid sinus [4]. Improper management of sphenoid sinus disease may lead to serious complications such as orbital abscess, cavernous sinus thrombosis, meningitis, epidural, subdural, cerebral abscess [5].

The signs and symptoms of isolated sphenoid sinus disease may be unclear and nonspecific, is difficult to diagnose with history and physical examination due to the location of the sinus [6]. Beside the symptoms and physical examination finding; nasal endoscopy and better imaging technologies such as CT and MRI allow the early diagnosis of sphenoid sinus disease. Differential diagnosis has to be made with sphenoid sinusitis, fungal infection, benign neoplasms such as inverted papilloma and malignant neoplasms for isolated sphenoid sinus pathology [7].

In this study, we present seven patients who underwent endoscopic sphenoid sinus surgery for isolated sphenoid sinus disease in Eskisehir Yunus Emre State Hospital. The purpose of this study is to review our experience with lesions located in the sphenoid sinus.

Material and Methods

In this retrospective study, seven patients who underwent endoscopic sphenoid sinus surgery for isolated sphenoid sinus disease were presented between January 2015 and January 2016 in Eskisehir.
Yunus Emre State Hospital ENT Department. Data collected from the archives of patient files and surgical notes of Eskisehir Yunus Emre State Hospital. Informed consent was taken from all patients who included in this study. Patient demographics, presenting symptoms, surgical details and histopathological outcome were evaluated (Table 1).

Diagnosis of isolated sphenoid sinus disease was based on the history, physical examination, nasal endoscopy and radiological evaluation. At the time of the diagnosis, there was no involvement of the other sinuses. Patients were evaluated by objective ear, nose, throat examination including flexible nasal sinus endoscopy. The diagnosis was confirmed by paranasal sinus CT in axial and coronal sections. This series also included patients whose disease was detected incidentally by other departments especially neurology and neurosurgery. MRI was performed in patients suspected for fungal infection and malignancy; also performed in patients whose disease was detected in neurology and neurosurgery.

Surgical technique

The endoscopic sphenoid sinus surgery was performed in anti-trendelenburg position under general anesthesia. Nasal decongestion is obtained by applying a lidocaine and adrenaline soaked cotton pledgets in nasal cavity before the surgery. After the decongestion, cotton pledgets are removed and local anesthetic infiltration are applied. Middle turbinate are gently displaced laterally. The sphenoid sinus ostium are approached between the middle and superior turbinates laterally and the septum medially. The sphenoid sinus ostium was identified 1.5 cm above the choana. Superior concha are visualized by 0 or 30 degree endoscope and the inferior portion of superior concha was resected. Sphenoidotomy is performed in an inferomedial direction. Sphenoid sinus ostium was widened and pathology was removed. The whole mucosa is never excised. At the end of the intervention, nasal cavity is irrigated and nasal packs are placed. Tampons are removed between first and second postoperative days. After the intervention, patients were treated with antibiotic therapy. Every patient has been followed in 3 months intervals in a year.

Results

7 patients who underwent endoscopic sphenoidotomy for isolated sphenoid sinus pathology were included in this study. There were 5 female and 2 male patients ranging from 22 to 65 years. Sphenoid sinus involvement was unilateral in 3 patients. 2 patients with sphenoid sinus polyps and 2 patients with sphenoid sinus mucocele had unilateral sphenoidal disease. Patients were stratified into groups based on the pathological condition. Fungal infection, polyps and mucoceles are the main groups of isolated sphenoid disease in this study.

Table 1: Sociodemographic findings and histopathologic outcomes of the sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Age / Gender</th>
<th>Signs and symptoms</th>
<th>Histopathological outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>62 y / female</td>
<td>Vertex and occipital headache, postnasal drip</td>
<td>Fungal infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>57 y / male</td>
<td>Retroorbital headache</td>
<td>Fungal infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>65 y / female</td>
<td>Retroorbital headache, postnasal drip, nasal discharge</td>
<td>Fungal infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22 y / female</td>
<td>Vertex and occipital headache</td>
<td>Mucocele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>35 y / male</td>
<td>Nasal obstruction and bifrontal headache</td>
<td>Mucocele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>38 y / female</td>
<td>Nasal obstruction, nasal discharge</td>
<td>Polyp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>32 y / female</td>
<td>Nasal obstruction</td>
<td>Polyp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Five patients presented with headache that did not respond to simple analgesia. Headache was either occipital, retroorbital, bifrontal and vertex; was nonspecific in quality. Nasal symptoms were the second most common presenting symptoms. These included nasal obstruction in three patients, postnasal drip and nasal discharge in two patients. Nasal obstruction was major complaint in the sphenoidal polyp cases due to the size of the polyp. Nasal symptoms was resistant to main medical treatment.

Endoscopic examination was performed in all patients. Four patients had positive findings in the endoscopic examination. Polypoid tissue in the sphenoethmoidal recess in two patients, mucopurulent discharge in the sphenoid ostium in a patient and swelling, congestion and edema in a patient. All patients were investigated with CT scan of the paranasal sinuses. If there was a suspicion of sphenoidal mass, contrast enhancement was utilized and MRI was performed (Figure 1). Four patients was underwent MRI evaluation and fungal infection was diagnosed in three of them and mucocele was diagnosed in the other.

Endoscopic sphenoidotomy was performed for all patients. In three of the patients extended unilateral sphenoidotomy was performed for fungus ball. Internal carotid artery dehissence was seen in a patient with fungal infection (Figure 2). There was not any major complications during the surgeries. All patients reported improvement in symptoms postoperatively. Hospital stay ranged form a minimum number of a day to a maximum of 3 days. Routine postoperative CT or MRI scanning were not conducted; nasoendoscopy was applied in the follow up period. Patients are followed up in 3 months intervals during a year and there was not any recurrences was reported.
Discussion

Isolated sphenoid sinus disease is an uncommon disease that affects 1%-3% of patients. Compared to the other sinuses, presents several diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. Inflammatory etiologies were responsible for 61%-82% of isolated sphenoid pathologies, followed by benign or malignant neoplasm [8]. Malignancy of sphenoid sinus could also be reported unless in our series we could not diagnose any of it. Fulminant headaches, visual disturbances, CSF rhinorrhea, cranial nerves deficits can be seen in malignant cases of this region [9]. It is usually insidious in onset and may present with nonspecific symptoms like headache and nasal obstruction. The most common symptom of sphenoid sinus disease is headache. In the majority of previous reports, headache was nonspecific in location, quality and intensity [10]. Headache was presenting and major symptom in 71% of cases in our series. Nasal symptoms was the second most commonly complaint in our case series. Two patients had a huge polypoid mass arising from sphenoid sinus blocking the nasal airway. Visual disturbances are oftenly the second most common symptoms for sphenoid pathology in other series, but in our study we could not meet it. Maybe it is due to there is not any neoplastic mass in sphenoid sinus in this study.

The diagnosis of isolated sphenoid sinus disease is based on history, physical examination including nasoendoscopy and radiologic studies. Sphenethmoidal recess can be identified by endoscopic nasal examination [11]. However; 57% patients in our series present endoscopic findings. Normal looking sphenethmoidal recess on nasoendoscopy does not exclude sphenoid pathology so radiologic studies must be necessary for appropriate diagnosis. CT imaging may reveal a spectrum of pathology ranging from mucosal thickening to opacifications of sinus. It is valuable in showing the bone details with the ability of differentiating the sinus wall expansion and destruction. Fungal infections of the sphenoid sinus require more special attention. It is occasionally not distinguishable from benign or malignant tumors. All suspected mass lesions within the sphenoid sinus should be evaluated with MRI as it provides more information about the soft tissues [13].

There are many surgical techniques are used to access the sphenoid sinus including subfrontal, frontotemporal, subtemporal transcranial, transfacial, transseptal. These techniques require surgical violation to the other strucr for sphenoid sinus. The transnasal surgical approaches to isolated sphenoid sinus diseases include the endoscopic transnasal, endoscopic transtethmoid, transseptal and endoscopic pterygoid fossa [14]. If necessary each of these can be used in conjunction with an extracranial approach. In cases involving inflammatory process like in this case series; endoscopic transnasal sphenoidotomy has been reported to be safe and effective. The key point in approaching the sphenoid sinus was adequate exposure of the sphenethmoidal recess to identify the sphenoid sinus ostium endoscopically. Once the sphenoid ostium was sufficiently enlarged, surgery can be performed with caring to optic and carotid canal. Like in our series, dehissence can be seen in optic or carotid canals and harmful maneuvers to these structures can cause major complications during the surgery. This technique offers several advantages: better view of anatomic structures, no visible scars, lesser traumatism, small postoperative morbidity, preservation of physiological mucociliary drainage and shorter hospitalization. Unfortunately, difficult management of intraoperative bleeding and any other complications in surgery, long and difficult learning curve for the operator and need for special instruments are the major disadvantages.

Conclusion

Isolated sphenoid sinus diseases occurs relatively infrequent and presenting symptoms are often nonspecific. Headaches, nasal symptoms, visual disturbances, cranial nerve findings can be reported. Inflammatory diseases are the most of the reasons of isolated sphenoid sinus disease presented with unlocalized headache and nasal findings. Diagnosis and management of sphenoid sinus can be challenging in some cases. History, physical examination including nasoendoscopy and radiologic studies must be necessary for appropriate diagnosis. Especially, for inflammatory lesions of sphenoid sinus; minimally invasive endoscopic transnasal sphenoidotomy is safe and effective method. Anatomic relations of major structures in sphenoid sinus and skull base should always keep in mind during the management.
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Figure 2: Intraoperative images of fungal infection of sphenoid sinus. Dehissence was detected on the wall of carotid canal and calcified material resulted from fungal infection sphenoid sinus.


