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Abstract
Breast cancer has been classified into different subgroups with discrete tumor behavior, prognosis and therapeutic approaches. Triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) is characterized by lack of expression of estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2). TNBC represents a heterogeneous group of tumors with more aggressive biologic behavior and poorer prognosis. Lack of receptor expression, which excludes hormonal therapy and trastuzumab as treatment options, is a therapeutic challenge. In this manuscript, we have reviewed our current knowledge about the epidemiology and contributing risk factors of TNBC, heterogeneity in the molecular landscape, clinical course of TNBC, and current evidence on available therapeutic options and developing novel modalities such as targeted therapy. Molecular heterogeneity is an important contributing factor that could explain the discrepancies in the literature, in terms of various clinical aspects of TNBC. Genetic features should be considered and studied alongside in the prospective trials, to better understand and clinically address the heterogeneous nature of this disease.
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Introduction
Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease at molecular level with diverse clinical manifestations. Malignant behavior of the tumors and therapeutic strategies are different based on tumors’ hormonal activity, determined by expression of estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR), as well as expression of Human Epidermal Growth Receptor 2 (HER2). Microarray analysis of breast cancers has classified them in 5 different subgroups with different therapeutic options and prognosis, based on their gene expression profiling [1-3]. These transcriptional subtypes include: 1) luminal A (ER and/or PR +, HER2 -); 2) Luminal B (ER and/or PR +, HER2 +); 3) HER2 over expression (ER and PR -, HER +), 4) Basal like [ER and PR -, HER2 +/-, cytokeratin and/or epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) +] and finally, 5) normal breast-like (tumors that do not fit into any of the other categories). Two different cell types of mammary glands, luminal and basal (myoepithelial), represent the cellular basis of this molecular classification.

Triple negative breast cancer (TNBC), which is defined by lack of expression of ER, PR and HER2, represents the most aggressive subtype with higher rate of local recurrence and poorer clinical outcome [4,5]. There is a significant overlap between TNBC and the basal like transcriptional subtype but the 2 entities are not exactly identical. While about 73% of TNBCs are basal like tumors, the rest lack the basal like specific markers [6]. Furthermore, a small proportion of non-TNBCs produce basal like markers [7]. Lack of therapeutic target for hormonal therapy and trastuzumab is the clinical a challenge in TNBC, which limits the available effective medical therapy. In this review, we discuss the epidemiology of TNBC, molecular heterogeneity and their clinical implications, diagnosis, clinical course and available therapeutic modalities.

Epidemiology, Trends and Risk Factors
TNBC comprises 10-20% of all diagnosed breast cancers. There is a consensus, in various widely cited epidemiologic studies, that TNBCs are more frequently seen in younger females below 40 years, nonhispanic patients with African ethnic background and those with low socioeconomic status. However, the data regarding the risk factors of reproductive and menstrual history is more consistent in hormone receptor positive breast cancers and more controversial in TNBC.

Results from Carey et al. [8], confirm that prevalence of basal-like breast cancer was significantly higher in premenopausal African-American (AA) females compared to postmenopausal AA females, and non-AA and the survival was poorer regardless of their age [8]. Bauer et al. in another
population-based study published from the the California Cancer Registry, reported that patients with TNBC more likely tended to be nonhispanic AA and below the age of 40. Survival was lower in patients with TNBC regardless of tumor stage [9]. The larger retrospective populations-based study in 2010, from the California Cancer Registry by Amirikia and Associates [10] included 375,761 invasive breast cancers, supported the previous findings that nonhispanic AA are at higher risk to develop TNBC. Higher incidence of TNBC was observed in younger patients particularly below age 50. Several smaller population-based studies have shown similar results, providing strong evidence for racial disparities in developing TNBC with a trend towards younger patients [11-13].

A multitude of population-based studies have tried to identify the etiology of TNBC. While many of these studies have revealed that the risk factors of developing TNBC are different from other types of breast cancer, further studies are required to better understand the underlying factors responsible for TNBC development. The current data regarding the role of menstrual and reproductive history, breast-feeding and oral contraception, is controversial. Despite the clear inverse relationship between parity and hormonally active breast cancers, most of the studies have failed to confirm any correlation between parity and TNBC. However, Phipps et al. [14] in a large population based study including 155,723 females showed that nulliparity decreases the risk of TNBC and increased parity in a large population based study including 155,723 females showed that nulliparity decreases the risk of TNBC and increased parity is associated with increased risk of TNBC. Similar findings were reported in 2 smaller case-controlled studies [15,16].

Older age at first pregnancy has been shown to be associated with increased risk of breast cancers with expression of hormonal receptors while the majority of the studies on TNBC, except two of them, [17,18] did not identify any association between age at first pregnancy and risk of TNBC. Lactation has been shown to have a protective effect against TNBC in multiple studies [14,16,17,19] and lack of breast-feeding is associated with an increased risk of TNBC [20]. Millekan et al. [16] noted a combination of increased parity and lack of lactation was also associated with further increase in risk of TNBC. There is no strong evidence supporting the role of menstrual history in development of TNBC. Multiple studies have demonstrated that age of menopause is not associated with TNBC [14,21,22]. While few studies have reported an association between TNBC and younger age of menarch, [16,21,23] the rest of the studies have not supported this finding.

The data regarding TNBC and oral contraceptive (OCP) or hormonal therapy is also controversial. Ma et al. [24] demonstrated that women who started using OCP before the age of 18 had a 2.9-fold increase in TNBC and Danello et al. [14] showed OCP consumption more than one year was associated with 2.5-fold increase in TNBC risk. Other studies, however, did not find any association between OCP and TNBC. Current hormonal therapy and not past use, is also reported to be associated with TNBC [19].

Yang et al. [21] pooled the data of 35,568 patients with breast cancer (1997 TNBC) from 34 studies participating in the Breast Cancer Association Consortium and studied various risk factors in different breast cancer subtypes. They noted that lower age at the first full-term birth, and BMI among younger women < 50 years (not older) were only associated with ER or PR positive tumors and not TNBC. age of menarch did not significantly increase the risk of TNBC. They demonstrated that nulliparity had the lowest prevalence in TNBC among different subtypes. Only increase parity was associated with slight increase in TNBC risk [25]. These findings were consistent with a meta-analysis published earlier and failed to show any association between the above risk factors and TNBC [26].

Overall, there is significant heterogeneity in TNBC and its associated risk factors and our knowledge about epidemiology and etiology of TNBC is limited. Further large prospective population-based studies with adequate diversity are required to provide a better insight regarding the underlying factors that can increase the risk for developing the most aggressive basaloid breast cancer subtype. These data are crucial to design effective prevention strategies with meaningful clinical outcomes.

**Cellular and Molecular Biology: Heterogeneity at all Levels**

**Immunohistochemical classification**

By definition, TNBC is diagnosed by lack of expression of ER, PR and HER2. It is critical to accurately classify TNBC, since therapeutic options are different for this group of breast cancers. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) is the standard method for assessment of receptor expression and where the results for IHC are equivocal (for HER2) fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) assay will be utilized. There is a wide diversity in preanalytical preparation, assay methods and interpretations of IHC. Guidelines from American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO)/College of American Pathologists (CAP) recommends considering IHC for ER and PR to be interpreted as negative if positive staining for tumor cell is observed in less than 1% of the sample [27]. There is more variability in assessment of HER2 expression. In 2013, ASCO/CAP released new guidelines to unify the detection methods and increase the chances of detecting HER2 amplification [28]. HER2 positive in IHC is defined by 3+ staining i.e. >10% tumor cells. IHC staining of 0 or 1 is considered as negative and 2+ is categorized as equivocal. If IHC is equivocal, further assessment with FISH (single probe or dual probe) should be considered. If FISH results are still equivocal, the HER2 status will not be interpreted as negative and patient will be considered eligible for trastuzumab. In addition to lack of ER, PR and HER2, TNBC tumors are usually positive for EGFR and myoepithelial (basal-cell) cytokeratin 5, 6 and 17 in IHC staining.

**Histologic subtypes**

TNBCs usually represent as high-grade invasive tumors with high mitotic count and a central necrosis pattern [29]. Various histological subtypes including ductal, metaplastic, medullary and adenoid cystic have been reported for TNBC [30]. Majority of these tumors, categorized as ductal, generally lack distinctive, uniform histological features. The rest of TNBCs are rare entities. Metaplastic tumors represent a heterogeneous subtype with epithelial and mesenchymal components [31]. Medullary phenotype is distinguished by high lymphocytic infiltration and has better outcomes, despite its high-grade histology [32,33]. Adenoid cystic carcinoma represents another rare histologic subtype with an observed indolent course and good clinical outcomes [34].

**Molecular heterogeneity**

Medical therapy is limited in TNBC given lack of HER2 and hormonal receptor expressions. Thus, it is critical to unravel the molecular landscape of TNBC and identify deranged druggable molecular targets and signaling pathways. Gene expression profiling (GEP) of TNBCs has revealed heterogeneity in mutational phenotype and aberrancy in signaling pathways. In a meta-analysis of various
GEP studies, Lehmann et al. [35] have analyzed 21 breast cancer data sets and classified TNBC into 6 molecular subtypes: basal-like (BL1 and BL2), immunomodulatory (IM), mesenchymal (M), mesenchymal-stem-like (MSL) and luminal androgen receptor(LAR). BL1 is characterized by over expression of cell cycle pathways, loss of cell-cycle checkpoints and elevated DNA damage response genes. These features make them susceptible to antimitotic agents (taxanes) and DNA-damaging agents (Cisplatin) and they have shown the highest pathologic complete response (52%) [36]. The hallmark of BL2 subtype is aberrant expression of growth factor signaling pathways and growth factor receptors, with features of myoepithelial origin. In contrast to BL1, this subtype rarely achieves pathologic complete response. Unique characteristic of IM is central role for immune cell signaling at different levels including cell surface antigen and antigen presentation, cytokines and complement cascade. There is a significant overlap between medullary breast cancer and IM subtype. M and MSL subtypes share similar over expression patterns in cell motility and cell differentiation pathways, various growth factor signaling pathways and epithelial-mesenchymal transition markers. What distinguishes MSL, as a separate entity, is amplification of genes associated with angiogenesis and differential expression of some specific growth factor pathways, but lower levels of cell proliferation. Expression profile of M and MSL subtypes are similar to the metaplastic breast cancer. LAR subtype displays enrichment of hormone-regulating pathways such as androgen receptor signaling and steroid synthesis. This subtype is less chemosensitive with only 10% pathologic complete response [36].

**BRCA and TNBC**

BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes are tumor suppressors that are essential for DNA repair, apoptosis and maintaining genome stability. BRCA protein contributes to DNA double-strand breaks by homologous recombination [37]. Individuals who carry germline mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2 have a 60-70% lifetime risk for developing breast cancer [38]. TNBC has been shown to have higher frequency of BRCA1 mutation. Among the breast cancer patients who have BRCA1 mutation, 60-80% are TNBC [39,40]. On the other hand, about 10% of TNBC patients have mutation in BRCA, predominantly BRCA1 and to a lesser extent BRCA2 [41-43]. BRCA mutation in TNBC is affected by age, and ethnic background. TNBC patients with BRCA mutations are younger compared to those without BRCA mutation [43]. It is also shown to be more frequent in Caucasian females compared to African Americans [44]. TNBC patients with BRCA1 mutation have significantly higher rates of family history of breast cancer and those with BRCA2 mutations are associated with family history of ovarian cancer, while TNBC patients without BRCA mutations were not associated with a higher familial history of either cancer [43]. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines recommend genetic risk assessment for TNBC patients with BRCA mutation who are not older than 60 years.

**Clinical Features**

**Diagnosis imaging**

Efficacy of mammography as a diagnostic tool is lower for TNBC compared to other subtypes of breast cancer and is considered suboptimal [45]. Breast density is higher in younger patients who have higher likelihood of developing TNBC. Moreover, typical features such as calcification are less frequently seen in mammography of TNBC patients. Ultrasound (US) is a more sensitive diagnostic tool for TNBC, although benign radiologic features of TNBC in 20–40% can interfere with appropriate diagnosis. Magnetic resonance Imaging (MRI) has been shown to be superior to US and mammography in detection of TNBC [46]. [(18)F]-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose positron emission tomography ((18)F-FDG-PET) has demonstrated to be a non-sensitive tool for the routine initial diagnosis, but it is more sensitive in detection nodal and distant metastasis in TNBC compared to ER positive tumors [47].

**Clinical course**

TNBC is frequently diagnosed as an interval cancer i.e. between two routine mammograms, which indicates the rapid growth of tumor in this subtype. These tumors have more aggressive biologic behavior with larger tumor size, higher pathologic grade and more locally advanced, although they have lower rate of lymph node involvement. In contrast to other breast tumor types, tumor size and nodal metastasis are not strongly correlated in TNBC [5]. Metastatic pattern in TNBC is distinct with higher rate of lung and brain involvement while metastasis to bone and liver is not as frequent [48]. TNBC patients with distant metastasis experience a rapid progression to death. There is no obvious association between local and distant metastasis, and despite other tumors, local metastasis cannot predict distant metastasis.

Recurrence trends are also unique in TNBC. In general, recurrence occurs faster and after five years the risk declines. While recurrence in more than half of the ER + cancers happen between 5 to 10 years after diagnosis, the majority of TNBC recurrence is observed in the first 1-4 years and rapidly declines thereafter. In a similar fashion, the majority of tumor related deaths are observed in the first five years and mortality declines afterward [5].

**Therapeutic Modalities**

**Surgery**

Surgical options including total mastectomy and breast-conserving therapy (BCT), i.e. partial mastectomy followed by radiotherapy, are essentially similar in TNBC compared to other subtypes. Receptor status has not been widely assessed in the major prospective randomized trials that have studied surgical outcomes. Our knowledge about surgical intervention and its outcomes is confined to the data from retrospective or nonrandomized prospective studies, with considerable conflicting findings.

Several groups have studied the need for re-excision after lumpectomy in different subtypes of breast cancer. While Garvey et al. [49] (N=2520) showed no difference in presence of residual disease with re-excision between various breast subtypes, Sioshansi et al. [50] in a smaller study (N=369 with 12.5%TNBC) showed a 20% higher chance of residual tumor in re-excision, in TNBC. Multivariate analysis demonstrated an increased risk of residual invasive cancer in TNBC. Pilewski et al. [51] have studied the effect of margin width after BCT on local recurrence in TNBC patients and showed that negative margin >2 mm was not associated with lower local recurrence.

A multitude of studies have evaluated treatment outcomes in various breast cancer subtypes. Ten studies have compared locoregional recurrence after BCT in TNBC vs. other subtypes. In a randomized trial (N=498 with 68 TNBC), Millar et al. [52] showed that TNBC could be predictive of local recurrence. Four other retrospective studies demonstrated increased local recurrence in TNBC [53-56]. While the remainder, failed to show any significant difference [57-61]. In a meta-analysis of the five cohorts among these
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is administered with two different strategies: down staging and higher therapeutic efficacy [74,75]. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy paradox” [73]. There is no single preferred chemotherapy agent for higher likelihood of recurrence, which is referred to as “triple negative when compared to other subtypes, despite its aggressiveness and TNBC. Nonetheless, TNBC has a better response to chemotherapy in patients with no node metastasis had a higher rate of local recurrence compared to patients who underwent BCT [69]. Overall, despite the fact that metastasis and survival is poorer in TNBC, the data regarding local control and recurrence after surgery is controversial. Although the trend is toward increased local recurrence in TNBC, it is not well established if the type of surgical intervention has any impact on local recurrence and if the tumor subtype should impact the decision for the type of surgery offered to the patient. The answer, based on the current literature is no, but future prospective randomized trials should address this important issue.

Radiation therapy

The paucity of large randomized prospective trials focusing on impact of radiation therapy in TNBC has been the reason for lack of consensus guidelines specific to TNBC. Radiation therapy can be delivered in two different approaches: as part of BCT or post mastectomy radiation therapy (PMRT). Despite some conflicting findings, studies have generally indicated advantages of radiation therapy in management of TNBC. As showed above, radiation as part of BCT is demonstrated to decrease the locoregional recurrence in TNBC when compared to mastectomy without radiation [69]. A meta-analysis of 22 randomized trials on all types of breast has shown PMRT reduces both local and distant recurrence in patients with 1-3 positive axillary nodes. In line with these findings, a report from Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group Trial showed an increased risk of distant metastasis in patients who did not receive PMRT [70]. In contrast, other reports showed the increase in distant recurrence is not significant without PMRT [71]. In a meta-analysis of 12 studies in patients with TNBC including 2 randomized clinical trials, O’Rorke et al. [72] demonstrated that adjuvant radiation therapy, regardless of the surgical approach (both BCT and PMRT), significantly decreases the risk for locoregional recurrence. While they failed to show any overall survival benefits, subgroup analysis revealed improved survival in younger patients with more advanced TNBC (younger than 40 yrs, T3-4, N2-3). These findings should be cautiously interpreted, as this study was underpowered in these specific subgroups. There is a clear necessity for future randomized trials to accurately identify which TNBC patients would benefit from radiation therapy [72].

Chemotherapy

Lack of drug-specific targets with absence of hormonal and HER2 receptor, to this date, is the major obstacle in medical management of TNBC. Nonetheless, TNBC has a better response to chemotherapy when compared to other subtypes, despite its aggressiveness and higher likelihood of recurrence, which is referred to as “triple negative paradox” [73]. There is no single preferred chemotherapy agent for TNBC but it has been demonstrated that dose intensification has higher therapeutic efficacy [74,75]. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy is administered with two different strategies: down staging an inoperable breast cancer to an operable tumor, and also down staging to make the tumor amenable to BCT. Anthracyclines and Taxanes are the preferred agents shown to be effective neoadjuvant regimens in TNBC [76]. Complete pathologic response has been reported in about 30% of TNBCs and is associated with excellent prognosis [77]. In contrast, tumors that are less sensitive to chemotherapy have worse clinical outcome [73]. In a prospective randomized trial, Golshan et al. [78] demonstrated that neoadjuvant chemotherapy, converted 42% of BCT-ineligible tumors, amenable to BCT, which was a 14% absolute increases in the eligibility for BCT. NCCN guidelines recommend adjuvant therapy for TNBCs with node involvement or tumors larger than 1 cm. It is also recommended that adjuvant chemotherapy be considered for tumors with 0.6-1 cm, although data is limited for patients older than 70 years. The preferred regimen is dose-dense doxorubicin/ cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel.

Defective DNA repair is a feature in a subgroup of TNBC, namely BRCA1 positive tumors. This characteristic has put platinum-based agents forward as attractive chemotherapy agents for neoadjuvant and adjuvant therapy in TNBC. In cells with DNA repair defect, platinum based agents can cause apoptosis by breaking DNA crosslink strands. Although preclinical data and subsequently a small prospective data supported this concept, [79] RCTs have shown controversial results. While CALGB 40603 trial has shown a significantly improved pathologic complete response with addition of carboplatin to the above regimen [78], a meta-analysis failed to prove any meaningful impact, of adding platinum based agents to the chemoregimen, on patients’ survival [80]. Thus, current data does not strongly support a generalized application of platinum based agents in TNBC.

Targeted therapy

Molecular heterogeneity of TNBC and lack of specific medical therapy, such as hormonal therapy, as well as resistance to conventional regimens make targeted therapy and personalized medicine an area of interest in TNBC translational and clinical research. Only about 30% of the patients have pathologic complete response to the conventional chemotherapy. Non-responders, who are the majority comprising about 70% of the patients, are the ones who would benefit from novel therapies and are patients in whom targeted therapy has better outcomes. Various molecular pathways have been studied to identify targetable aberrations that can be potentially translated to an effective therapeutic tool. Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors in patients with BRCA mutation with subsequent homologous recombination can cause double-strand break in replicating cells; with defective DNA repair, this will lead to selective tumor cell cytotoxicity. Several ongoing trials are currently studying various PARP inhibitors, yet no conclusive clinical benefit has reported to introduce them as part of practice guidelines.

Anti androgen therapy has been studied in LAR subtype and potential efficacy in patients positive for androgen hormones have been reported [81]. The PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway controls various cellular functions including metabolism, proliferation and motility. Aberrant activation of this pathway has been shown in about 60% of TNBCs [82]. PI3K inhibitors are being tested in clinical trials and a phase I trial has reported improved progression free survival in metastatic TNBC with addition of PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors to conventional chemotherapy [83]. Overall, more than 100 clinical trials are actively studying various targeted therapies including the abovementioned targets in addition to a multitude of other targets. Some of the widely studied targets include but not limited to receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) such as EGFR and vascular
endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR), mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (MAPK/ERK), Heat shock proteins (HSP), antiangiogenics and also Immune-checkpoint inhibitors including anti-programmed cell death 1 (PD-1), anti-programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 (PD-L1) and anti-cytotoxic T-lymphocyte protein 4 (CTLA-4) monoclonal antibodies.

Conclusions and Future Directions

TNBC represents a heterogeneous subgroup of breast cancer with aggressive tumor behavior and poor clinical outcomes. Molecular profiling has helped to better classify the heterogeneity of these tumors, but our knowledge is yet limited about clinicopathological features of these tumors. Large prospective studies with special focus on environmental-biological-molecular interactions are required to better delineate underlying causes of triple negative breast tumors. These data are crucial to accurately define at-risk population and design preventive strategies. We know younger females and those with African ethnic background are at higher risk of developing TNBC but other risk factors, which contribute to tumorigenesis in hormone receptor and/or HER2 positive tumors, such as reproductive, menstrual and OCP consumption history, are not considered to be as significant contributing factors, homogeneously across all the TNBCs.

This might imply the heterogenic molecular nature of this disease with a variety of risk factors differentially contributing to various subclasses, an area which still would warrant extensive epidemiological studies.

Despite the unique and heterogeneous nature of this subgroup, we still do not have a specific and targeted therapeutic plan confined to the features of TNBC. Currently, we are applying similar rules for our therapeutic approach to TNBC, as other subgroups. Future studies are required to define when and which surgical approach would have better outcomes, and whether, molecular profiles could serve as markers that can direct our therapeutic decision-making. Lack of hormonal/HER2 receptors excludes conventional targets for directed therapy in TNBC and although these tumors are more sensitive to achieve pathologic complete response with conventional chemoregimens, the majority of these tumors is not good responders and eventually would have worse outcomes. It is unclear why a subgroup of patients has excellent medical response while the rest have poor clinical outcomes; expanding our knowledge of non-responder will help to better define the nature of therapeutic obstacles in curing TNBC. Finding druggable targets and testing these targeted therapies, either alone or in conjunction with conventional therapies is subject of a broad spectrum of translational research and clinical trials. Despite all the advancements in the area of breast cancer, our knowledge in regards to TNBC is still limited. Designing therapeutic plans specific to TNBC is the focus of a substantial number of current studies with the goal to improve the outcomes of this aggressive breast neoplasm.
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