# **Clinics in Surgery**

9

## Study of Anterior Capsular Contraction Following Cataract Surgery with Frequently Used Intraocular Lenses

Zhou Y\*, Ding Y, Huang Y and Hou P

Department of Ophthalmology, People's Hospital of Bozhou City, China

## Abstract

Anterior Capsular Contraction Syndrome (ACCS) was compared in this study with cataract patients whose eyes were implanted with one of two types of acrylic lenses frequently used.

**Methods:** The study included 125 eyes of 112 patients. All of them, phacoemulsification and Intraocular Lens (IOL) implantation were successfully performed. Each patient was operated on by the same surgeon, two types of IOLs were implantation during cataract surgery: CT ASPHINA 509M [Zeiss] (IOL A), the Akreos AO [Bausch & Lomb]) (IOL B). Patients with intraoperative capsular tears and follow-up surveys less than one month were excluded. In these cases, we recorded the duration of postoperative follow-up, the presence of ACCS, along with the dimensions of the anterior capsule opening. A comparison of ACCS incidence was compared between the two lenses.

**Results:** Although the CT ASPHINA 509M group had more patients with ACCS risk factors (P=0.009), the ASPHINA 509M group had a significantly lower incidence of ACCS than the Akreos AO group (P=0.032).

**Conclusion:** Compared with the Akreos AO (IOL B), the CT ASPHINA 509M (IOL A) showed better anterior capsular stability and a lower incidence of ACCS.

Keywords: Anterior capsule contraction syndrome; Intraocular lens; Cataract surgery; Treatment outcome

## Introduction

## **OPEN ACCESS**

## \*Correspondence:

Yongmou Zhou, Department of Ophthalmology, People's Hospital of Bozhou City, 616 Duzhong Road, Bozhou City, 236800, China, Tel: +86-189-5678-5187 Received Date: 04 Jan 2024 Accepted Date: 20 Jan 2024 Published Date: 25 Jan 2024

### Citation:

Zhou Y, Ding Y, Huang Y, Hou P. Study of Anterior Capsular Contraction Following Cataract Surgery with Frequently Used Intraocular Lenses. Clin Surg. 2024; 9: 3686.

Copyright © 2024 Zhou Y. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Combined with a posterior chamber Intraocular Lens (IOL) implant, the role of cataract surgery has expanded from one of visual restorative to refractive [1,2]. Anterior Capsular Contraction Syndrome (ACCS) refers to excessive capsular diameter contraction, which is a complication that cannot be ignored after cataract surgery. It occurs mainly due to the contact of residual lens epithelial cells with the Intraocular Lens (IOL) near the Continuous Curvilinear Capsulorhexis (CCC) [3]. The reduction of the equatorial diameter of the lens capsule and the narrowing of the CCC opening in operated eyes post-surgery are typical manifestations of CCC. The incidence of contraction most generally develops during the first three postoperative months, with a succeeding decline in development [4,5]. This complication can reduce the free optic zone. It may cause decentration of the IOL optic and tilt of the IOL.

Furthermore, it can be effective enough to disrupt the visual axis [6-8]. The IOL composition and design have been shown to contribute to the development of ACCS. For instance, it is well assured that acrylic IOLs caused less capsular shrinkage than silicone IOLs [9-11]. Additionally, the risk of ACCS has been associated with many risk factors, including Pseudoexfoliation (PXE) syndrome, retinitis pigmentosa, uveitis, diabetes, and history of retinal surgery [12-17].

Some studies only evaluated the material and edge type of the optics and the impact on ACCS [18,19]. We studied the incidence of ACCS after implantation of two generally used acrylic IOLS, the CT ASPHINA 509M (Carl Zeiss Inc, Germany) and the Akreos AO (Bausch & Lomb; USA). To our knowledge, this is the first study to compare the incidence of ACCS in these two common IOLs. Studying ACCS in these two lenses may illustrate the biomechanical factors that influence capsule phimosis. The study also aimed to determine which lenses, if any, should be considered for use in patients at risk for ACCS by including patients with or without associated risk factors.

## **Materials and Methods**

This was a retrospective clinical study of patients that included 125 eyes of 112 patients from the

People's Hospital, Bozhou, China. Between June 2020 and June 2022, this study was authorized by the Institutional Review Board of Bozhou People's Hospital and complied with the purposes of the Declaration of Helsinki. The patient's informed consent was waived because it was a retrospective study. All data collected were excluded prior to analysis to meet the requirements of the People's Hospital, Bozhou Institutional Board's Institutional Review Committee. In all cases, the same surgeon performed standardized phacoemulsification from June 2020 and June 2022 using a 2.8 mm clear corneal incision and a 5 mm CCC, followed by phacoemulsification, cataract extraction, and IOL implantation. Two types of IOLs were implantation during cataract surgery: The CT ASPHINA 509M (IOL A) and the Akreos AO (IOL B). The CCC was measured and recorded during each procedure.

**Inclusion criteria:** Successful establishment of CCC and intracapsular fixation of IOL.

**Exclusion criteria:** 1. Intraoperative capsular tear; 2. Postoperative follow-up was less than 1 month; 3. The CCC diameter is less than 5 mm. Postoperative follow-up times the type of intraocular lens used, and the presence of ACCS were recorded. The capsulorhexis was observed by slit lamp and the diameter along the longitude of 90° and 180° was recorded. The size of the capsule opening was measured. The average of the two diameters is used to calculate the capsular area by the formula  $A=\pi r^2$ . When the measured diameter of any meridian is less than 3.5 mm and the corresponding opening area is less than 10 mm<sup>2</sup>, it is considered that ACCS exists. There was a note on any PXE syndrome, past uveitis, retinitis pigmentosa, previous retinal surgery, or diabetes retinopathy (Table 1). Patients were also assessed for the presence of mature cataracts that were related to any ACCS.

IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 24.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0., Armonk, NY, USA) software was used for statistical analysis. The Fischer's exact test and the Chi-squared test were used to explore any difference between ACCS occurrences and explore any differences in risk factor quantity between the two groups. The difference was statistically significant ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

#### Results

One hundred and twenty-five eyes of 112 patients were included in the study. Sixty-five (52%) were women and 60 (48%) were men. Sixty-three eyes had 509M lens implants and the remaining 60 eyes had Akreos AO lens implants. The average age of the patients at surgery was 68.2  $\pm$  8.4 years for the 509M lens and 69.3  $\pm$  9.6 years for the Akreos AO lens. There are no significant differences between neither male-to-female ratio (p=0.825) nor 509M lens group to Akreos AO lens group ratio (p=0.265) (Table 2).

ACCS was observed in 0 eyes with 509M lens and 4 eyes with Akreos AO lens, and the statistically difference was significant (P=0.04). At the last follow-up, the mean anterior capsular area of ACCS was 9.6  $\pm$  2.6 mm<sup>2</sup>, the median time to discover ACCS was 1.4 months (range 1-19 months), and only one case of ACCS (AO lens) required Nd:YAG laser capsular incision to improve visual acuity.

At least one risk factor for ACCS occurred in 9/63 (14.29%) eyes with IOL A and 8/62 (12.90%) eyes with IOL B. There was no significant difference (P=0.442  $\geq$  0.05). No cases of ACCS were detected in mature cataract patients.

## Discussion

In this study, the incidence of ACCS was decreased in eyes

#### Table 1: Eyes with ACCS risk factors.

| Risk factors               | AC             | CS (-)         | ACCS (+) |                |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------------|
|                            | AO             | 509M           | AO       | 509M           |
| PXE syndrome               | 0              | 1              | 1        |                |
| Uveitis                    | 1              | 0              |          |                |
| Retinitis pigmentosa       |                |                |          |                |
| History of retinal surgery | 0              | 1              |          |                |
| Diabetic retinopathy       | 5              | 6              | 1        | 1              |
| Total                      | 6 <sup>b</sup> | 8 <sup>b</sup> | 12ª      | 1 <sup>a</sup> |

(-) absence of ACCS, (+) presence of ACCS

<sup>a</sup> Single eye with two risk factors: 1/63 (509M) and 2/62(AO)

<sup>b</sup>Number of patients with at least one risk factor: 8/63 (509M) and 6/62(AO) ACCS: Anterior Capsular Contraction Syndrome; PXE: Pseudoexfoliation

implanted with CT ASPHINA 509M IOL compared with those implanted with Akreos AO IOLS. This is largely due to differences in IOL composition and design [10,11,13], Although the two IOLs in this study have hydrophilic acrylic composition, their design is significantly different (Table 3).

To reduce the incidence of posterior cataracts, both lenses are designed with a 360° continuous edge. Although square-edged lenses decrease Posterior Capsule Opacification (PCO), they increase anterior capsule shrinkage [12]. Recently, Miyata et al. [10] concluded that a square edge is not a risk factor for ACCS. Based on this discrepancy, other distinctions were explored.

Choi et al. [20] concluded that anterior capsular stability may be affected by the number and position of haptics within the capsular bag. It is presumed that evenly supporting zonules contributes to anterior capsular stability. The meta-analysis showed that hydrophobic acrylates reduced the incidence of membrane turbidity compared with hydrophilic acrylates [21]. There may have been differences in the biomechanical properties of these lenses that may have contributed to the higher rate of ACCS in the AO lens in our study. Several studies showed that hydrophobic acrylates reduced the incidence of membrane turbidity compared with hydrophilic acrylates [22,23]. The 509M IOL significantly reduced anterior capsule retraction and ACO development compared to the Acrys of SA60AT. It has a better performance when it comes to preventing ACCS [24]. This good performance of TECNIS was also confirmed in our study.

It was shown that using various diameters to represent different sized capsules. The CT ASPHINA 509M applied a greater force than the Akreos AO. In prior studies, capsule tension imbalances were believed to be responsible for ACCS pathogenesis. An outward force provided by lens haptics is hypothesized to provide increased resistance to the contraction forces of ACCS, which stabilizes the bag and reduces zonular tension. Cochener et al. [15] hypothesized that the silicone lens' increased flexibility contributed to the higher rate of ACCS compared with other lenses. In addition, zonular friability in PXE syndrome was suggested to contribute to ACCS risk [25].

There was no relation between cohort risk factors and the low incidence of CT ASPHINA 509M ACCS in our study. It was noted by the surgeon during this study that AO IOLs had a greater risk of ACCS. Therefore, the surgeon chose the CT ASPHINA 509M IOL for patients with ACCS risk factors as well. According to the "Results" section, 8/62 (12.90%) eyes with AO IOL had at least one risk factor, whereas 9/63 (14.29%) eyes with 509M IOL had at least one risk

#### Table 2: Patient demographics and ACCS incidence.

| Group                     | Eyes (n) | Average<br>age<br>(years),<br>mean ± SD | Male<br>(n)/Female<br>(n) | Disease<br>course<br>(years) | Average<br>follow-up<br>(months),<br>median<br>statistic | ACCS cases<br>(n) | ACCS incidence<br>(%) |
|---------------------------|----------|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------|
| CT ASPHINA 509M lens      | 63       | 68.2 ± 8.4                              | 30/33                     | 8.5 ± 7.3                    | 4.8 (1-33.4)   | 0                 | 0                     |
| AO lens                   | 62       | 69.3 ± 9.6                              | 30/32                     | 9.2 ± 6.6                    | 4.5 (1-33.1)   | 4                 | 6.45                  |
| p-value or x <sup>2</sup> | 0.916*   | 0.991*                                  | 0.825*                    | 0.847*                       | 0.564*   | 0.04              |                       |

ACCS: Anterior Capsular Contraction Syndrome; \*One-way ANOVA test

#### Table 3: Lens specifications.

| Characteristic           | CT ASPHINA 509M (Zeiss Inc)   | Akreos AO<br>(Bausch+Lomb Inc) |  |  |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Material                 | 1-piece hydrophobic acrylic<br>(Hydrophilicity with hydrophobic<br>surface Acrylic (25%)) | 1-piece hydrophilic acrylic    |  |  |
| Overall length (mm)      | 11  | 10.5 to 11.0                   |  |  |
| Optic Diameter (mm)      | 6   | 6                              |  |  |
| Index Refraction         | 1.46  | 1.54                           |  |  |
| Abbe number              | 60  | 41                             |  |  |
| Optic design             | Biconvex  | Biconvex                       |  |  |
| Optic edge               | 360° square edge  | 360° square edge               |  |  |
| Haptics design           | plate haptic  | four-loop plate                |  |  |
| Haptics Position with    | Offset, 4-point   | Offset, 4-point                |  |  |
| Haptics respect to optic | fixation  | fixation                       |  |  |

factor. In the 9 eyes with at least one risk factor that received the 509M lens, none of them developed ACCS.

## Conclusion

This study demonstrates that using the CT ASPHINA 509M lens may lead to a lower risk of developing ACCS as compared with the Akreos AO lens, a result partly explained by the CT ASPHINA 509M's increased mechanical rigidity. CT ASPHINA 509M IOL should be considered for patients at risk for capsular contraction after cataract extraction.

## Funding

This research was funded by Key Research and Development Project of Bozhou, Grant Number bzzc2020021.

## References

- 1. Olson RJ. Cataract surgery from 1918 to the present and future-just imagine! Am J Ophthalmol. 2018;185:10-3.
- Brogan K, Diaper CJM, Rotchford AP. Cataract surgery refractive outcomes: Representative standards in a National Health Service setting. Br J Ophthalmol. 2019;103(4):539-43.
- Frezzotti R, Caporossi A, Mastrangelo D, Hadjistilianou T, Tosi P, Cintorino M, et al. Pathogenesis of posterior capsular opacification. Part II: Histopathological and in vitro culture findings. J Cataract Refract Surg. 1990;16(3):353-60.
- Park TK, Chung SK, Baek NH. Changes in the area of the anterior capsule opening after intraocular lens implantation. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2002;28(9):1613-7.
- Kimura W, Yamanishi S, Kimura T, Sawada T, Ohte A. Measuring the anterior capsule opening after cataract surgery to assess capsule shrinkage. J Cataract Refract Surg. 1998;24(9):1235-8.
- 6. Deokule SP, Mukherjee SS, Chew CKS. Neodymium:YAG laser anterior capsulotomy for capsular contraction syndrome. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers

Imaging. 2006;37(2):99-105.

- Corydon C, Lindholt M, Knudsen EB, Graakjaer J, Corydon TJ, Dam-Johansen M. Capsulorhexis contraction after cataract surgery: Comparison of sharp anterior edge and modified anterior edge acrylic intraocular lenses. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2007;33(5):796-9.
- Chéour M, Mghaieth K, Bouladi M, Hasnaoui W, Mrabet I, Kraiem A. [Nd:Yag laser treatment of anterior capsule contraction syndrome after phacoemulsification]. J Fr Ophtalmol. 2007;30(9):903-7.
- 9. Hayashi K, Hayashi H. Intraocular lens factors that may affect anterior capsule contraction. Ophthalmology. 2005;112(2):286-92.
- Miyata K, Kato S, Nejima R, Miyai T, Honbo M, Ohtani S. Influences of optic edge design on posterior capsule opacification and anterior capsule contraction. Acta Ophthalmol Scand. 2007;85(1):99-102.
- Sacu S, Menapace R, Findl O. Effect of optic material and haptic design on anterior capsule opacification and capsulorrhexis contraction. Am J Ophthalmol. 2006;141(3):488-93.
- 12. Sacu S, Menapace R, Buehl W, Rainer G, Findl O. Effect of intraocular lens optic edge design and material on fibrotic capsule opacification and capsulorhexis contraction. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2004;30(9):1875-82.
- 13. Tsinopoulos IT, Tsaousis KT, Kymionis GD, Symeonidis C, Grentzelos MA, Diakonis VF, et al. Comparison of anterior capsule contraction between hydrophobic and hydrophilic intraocular lens models. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2010;248(8):1155-8.
- 14. Hayashi K, Hayashi H. Comparison of the stability of 1-piece and 3-piece acrylic intraocular lenses in the lens capsule. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2005;31(2):337-42.
- Cochener B, Jacq P L, Colin J. Capsule contraction after continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis: Poly(methyl methacrylate) versus silicone intraocular lenses. J Cataract Refract Surg. 1999;25(10):1362-9.
- Zambarakji HJ, Rauz S, Reynolds A, Joshi N, Simcock PR, Kinnear PE. Capsulorhexis phymosis following uncomplicated phacoemulsification surgery. Eye (Lond). 1997;11(Pt 5):635-8.

- Hayashi H, Hayashi K, Nakao F, Hayashi F. Anterior capsule contraction and intraocular lens dislocation in eyes with pseudoexfoliation syndrome. Br J Ophthalmol. 1998;82(12):1429-32.
- Weiblinger RP. Review of the clinical literature on the use of the Nd:YAG laser for posterior capsulotomy. J Cataract Refract Surg. 1986;12(2):162-70.
- Spalton DJ. Posterior capsular opacification after cataract surgery. Eye (Lond). 1999;13(Pt 3b):489-92.
- Choi M, Lazo MZ, Kang M, Lee J, Joo CK. Effect of number and position of intraocular lens haptics on anterior capsule contraction: A randomized, prospective trial. BMC Ophthalmol. 2018;18(1):78.
- 21. Ursell PG, Dhariwal M, O'Boyle D, Khan J, Venerus A. 5-year incidence of YAG capsulotomy and PCO after cataract surgery with single-piece monofocal intraocular lenses: A real-world evidence study of 20,763 eyes. Eye (Lond). 2020;34(5):960-8.

- 22. Nishi Y, Rabsilber TM, Limberger IJ, Reuland AJ, Auffarth GU. Influence of 360-degree enhanced optic edge design of a hydrophilic acrylic intraocular lens on posterior capsule opacification. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2007;33(2):227-31.
- 23. Khandwala MA, Marjanovic B, Kotagiri AK, Teimory M. Rate of posterior capsule opacification in eyes with the Akreos intraocular lens. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2007;33(8):1409-13.
- 24. Kahraman G, Ferdinaro C, Wetzel B, Bernhart C, Prager F, Amon M. Intraindividual comparison of capsule behavior of 2 hydrophobic acrylic intraocular lenses during a 5-year follow-up. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2017;43(2):228-33.
- 25. Assia EI, Apple DJ, Morgan RC, Legler UF, Brown SJ. The relationship between the stretching capability of the anterior capsule and zonules. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1991;32(10):2835-9.