Introduction

Splenic hamartoma is a very rare benign vascular proliferative neoplasm. To date more than 150 cases have been reported. Although hamartoma is benign and usually asymptomatic, it is important to distinguish it from malignant lesions, especially metastatic tumors. Surgical resection is required to confirm final pathologic diagnosis. Today, laparoscopic surgery is becoming the standard technique for both benign and malignant splenic disease and there is an increasing trend toward the application of single-incision surgery.

Case Description:

A 24-year-old man with suggestive radiological features of a splenic hamartoma was admitted. Diagnosis of malignant tumor was not excluded completely, and intraumbilical single-incision laparoscopic splenectomy was performed with conventional instruments and an energy device for hilar vessel control. The specimen was extracted intact through a relatively large intraumbilical incision. The final pathological diagnosis was made as a splenic hamartoma.

Discussion:

Although there are several radiological features giving clue in the diagnosis of splenic hamartomas, our case showed slightly different radiological findings. In initial work-up, these cases should be extensively evaluated and final diagnosis should be confirmed histopathologically. Single-incision laparoscopic splenectomy using conventional instruments and vessel sealing device for hilar management may be a safe and feasible procedure for the diagnosis and treatment of solid splenic tumors, enclosing hamartoma. This is the first reported case of splenic hamartoma treated with single incision laparoscopic splenectomy.
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homogeneous and slightly hyperdense on an enhanced abdominal CT, after intravenous administration of contrast material (Figure 1B). The abdominal MRI showed a 42 x 42 mm regularly contoured solid mass in the posterior central aspect of the spleen. The mass has weak hyperintensity in the axial T2-weighted image and has homogeneous and intensive enhancement after intravenous gadolinium (Figure 1C and d). This images is thought to be suggestive for diagnosis of a splenic hamartoma. Although the diagnosis of malignant tumor was not excluded completely, an intraumbilical SILS-Sp was performed. The patient was placed on the operating table in a right semilateral decubitus position (Figure 2A). An intraumbilical incision measuring 4 cm was made and a special port was used that is designed for a single-incision laparoscopic surgery. The OCTO™Port (Dalimsurg, Seoul, Korea) contains a wound protector and removable plate with four trocars: two 5 mm, one 10 mm and one 5-12 mm. With the use of a curved laparoscopic retractor, conventional 10 mm – 30° laparoscope, hook with monopolar cautery, and 5-mm blunt-tip LigaSure™ (Covidien, Norwalk, CT) vessel sealing device, the spleen was resected and the specimen extracted intact through the relatively large incision in a plastic bag (Figure 2B, 2C, and 2D). The total operation time and estimated intraoperative blood loss were 60 minute and 20 mL respectively. The patient’s postoperative course was uneventful and he was discharged on the second postoperative day.

The resected specimen measured 12 x 9 x 6 cm, the mass was 4 x 3 x 3 cm in size and it was located subcapsular. Gross examination showed a well-circumscribed brown, solid mass with slit-like spaces (Figure 3A). Histopathologically, the mass showed expansive growth, compressing the surrounding splenic tissue with a regular margins. The tumor consisted of solid layers of cells lined by large eosinophilic cytoplasm and oval-round or spindle-shaped nuclei, with sinusoid-like spaces present in between (Figure 3B). Among these cells, there was a mononuclear type of inflammatory cells. Immunohistochemical staining was performed, and the layered cells were frequently positive for CD34, CD31 and factor VIII-related antigen (Figure 3C). The lining cells of the sinusoid-like spaces were CD8-positive (Figure 3D). The scattered stromal macrophages were CD68-positive. S-100 was negative. SMA was showed cytoplasmic and membranous stainings for CD34, CD31, CD34 and factor VIII-related antigen [10].

**Discussion**

Solid lesions of the spleen are rare and generally asymptomatic. Most cases are found incidentally. In initial presentation splenomegaly, palpable mass, spontaneous rupture, anemia, thrombocytopenia and abdominal pain may be seen. When a newly discovered splenic mass is seen in patients, benign or malign causes should be considered. Differential diagnosis includes metastatic and primary malignancies: non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma which is the most common lymphoid and angiosarcoma which is the most common nonlymphoid primary malignant tumor of the spleen should be considered [5]. Benign lesions of the spleen are extremely rare in autopsies series and most of them have vascular origin [6]. Therefore, when a splenic mass is discovered in a patient, excessive evaluation and exclusion of a malignant etiology is necessary. Imaging studies may provide valuable information about the nature of the lesion. Depend on its own histological characteristics, splenic hamartomas have some particular radiologic features [7]. In this case, radiologic features of the splenic hamartoma have some differs from previously described cases: US showed hypoechoic, CT showed hyperdense mass in the spleen. Therefore, the diagnosis of splenic hamartoma was not made upon these radiologic features, but MRI findings were clearly suggestive for a splenic hamartoma.

Preoperative tissue diagnosis would be the most beneficial diagnostic tool while making decision on a suspicious splenic mass. In the literature, fine-needle aspiration (FNA) of splenic lesion has been reported. Studies reporting FNA results were mostly conducted upon malignant disease with suspected splenic metastasis [8,9]. They were suggested low morbidity rate and no biopsy-site seeding of tumors with FNA biopsy. But incidentally discovered lesions have small portion in these studies. Also, patients who have malignant splenic mass underwent splenectomy for removal of malignant tissue and characterizing histologic features. Therefore, FNA biopsy for a solitary splenic mass with no history of malignancy is not justified unless the patient cannot tolerate splenectomy. On the other hand, benign splenic masses commonly have vascular origin, and FNA biopsy may cause of troublesome bleeding. Thus, we have not performed a FNA biopsy for these reasons. Histological features of the tumor was obtained after the surgery. In this case, lining cells with sinusoid-like spaces typically positive for CD8, CD31, CD34 and factor VIII-related antigen [10].
Today, laparoscopic splenectomy (LS) is the standard surgical procedure for the treatment of most benign and malignant splenic diseases. The advantages of LS includes less complications such as pneumonia and atelectasis, shorter hospital length of stay (LOS), less pain and earlier return to daily activity [11]. Spleen is a fragile organ and prone to hemorrhage when the capsule is violated. Therefore, it should be manipulated carefully and grasping should be avoided, especially for a suspicious solid mass. To defeat this manipulation challenge, hand-assisted laparoscopic splenectomy (HALS) was described with the advantage of palpation, retraction and easy control of hemorrhage. With the improvement of technique and instruments, totally laparoscopic and single incision laparoscopic splenectomy is increasingly being performed. SILS-Sp is a feasible and safe technique with improved cosmesis [12].

To the best of our knowledge, only five cases of LS for splenic hamartoma were reported previously, and there are no published case on intraumbilical single-incision laparoscopic surgery for splenic hamartoma [13-17]. In our case, we performed SILS-Sp using conventional scope and instruments. Total operative time and blood loss were comparable to standard LS. In this case, another important technical point is the hilar vessel management. In a recent review, Fan et al. assessed the published literature on SILS-Sp between 2009 and 2012. In this review, there were no reported SILS-Sp performed with improved cosmesis [12].

Although there are several radiological features giving clue in the diagnosis of splenic hamartomas, our case showed slightly different US and CT findings and the diagnosis was made according to MRI. In initial work-up, these cases should be extensively evaluated and final diagnosis should be confirmed postoperatively. In conclusion, SILS-Sp using conventional instruments and vessel sealing device for hilar management may be a safe and feasible procedure for the diagnosis and treatment of solid splenic tumors, enclosing hamartoma.
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