Sister Mary Joseph Nodule: Analysis of a Case Series of Umbilical Metastases of Diverse Origin in a Single Centre
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Abstract

Sister Mary Joseph nodule is an umbilical metastatic lesion. Its incidence is 1% to 3% in cases of abdominopelvic neoplasms, typically of gastrointestinal or genitourinary origin. Its presence indicates advanced malignancy. This lesion can manifest as a presenting sign as well as a revealing progression or recurrence of intra-abdominal malignancy. We analyzed retrospectively a case series of histologically diagnosed umbilical metastases in our centre between May 2010 and October 2020. The aim of the study was to analyze the clinical, analytical and histopathological characteristics of the umbilical nodules; as well as the patient survival. A total of 15 patients were diagnosed, 9 females and 6 males. The median age of diagnosis was 66 years. In 67% of cases, the finding was made at the time of diagnosis of the disease, and in 33% was a sign of recurrence or progression. Associated signs or symptoms were described in 60% of the patients. A surgical resection of the umbilical nodule was performed in 12 cases, and a core needle biopsy in 2 cases. Histological analysis revealed adenocarcinoma in 93%. The origin of the primary tumor was ovarian (53%), pancreaticobiliary (27%), bladder, mammary or prostatic. In 93% of the cases a simultaneous distant metastatic affection was histologically or cytologically confirmed. Umbilical metastasis is lesions that may go unnoticed and whose diagnostic delay could lead to a decrease in survival. Therefore, it is essential to carry out a thorough physical examination, analyze suspicious lesions and establish an individualized treatment.
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Introduction

Sister Mary Joseph Nodule (SMJN) is an umbilical metastatic lesion. Its incidence is 1% to 3% in cases of abdominopelvic neoplasms [1]. Gastrointestinal origin is more frequent in men (50%), while genitourinary origin predominates in women (25%) [2,3].

The presence of this lesion constitutes an ominous prognostic factor, as it indicates advanced disease [1,2,4]. It can manifest as a presenting sign or symptom of intra-abdominal malignancy, being the first and only sign of an underlying disease in up to 30% of cases [5]; as well as revealing progression or recurrence [6].

The term “Sister Mary Joseph Nodule” was coined in 1949 by the English surgeon Hamilton Bailey in honor of Julia Dempsey (superintendent nurse at St. Mary’s Hospital in Rochester, Minnesota, nowadays Mayo Clinic) who observed that patients with gastric carcinoma who presented with a hardened mass in the umbilicus, had a worse prognostic or a more premature death [5].

Materials and Methods

We analyzed retrospectively a case series of histologically diagnosed umbilical metastases in our centre between May 2010 and October 2020. The aim of the study was to analyze the clinical, analytical and histopathological characteristics of the umbilical nodules; as well as the patient’s survival. All data was obtained from the electronic medical record.

Results and Discussion

Over this period, 15 patients were diagnosed, 9 females and 6 males. The median age of diagnosis was 66 years (42 to 84). In 67% of cases, the finding was made at the time of diagnosis of the disease, and in 5 patients (33%) was a sign of recurrence or progression.

Findings from physical examination are described in Table 1. The median size of the umbilical...
In our series, associated signs or symptoms were described in 60% of the patients, predominantly pain and abdominal distension secondary to ascites. Notably, in 40% of the cases a thorough examination was not carried out or the findings were not documented in the medical record.

The signs or symptoms commonly reported in the literature are nonspecific but may suggest the presence of an underlying malignancy, such as anorexia, weight loss, nausea or vomiting, abdominal pain or ascites [5] as well as gastrointestinal bleeding or abdominal mass [3]. In the case of history of a previously treated tumoral disease, it is essential to have a high index of suspicion [2].

In some cases, the suspected diagnosis is supported by findings on nodes was 2.4 cm (0.3 to 11). It is most common to find nodules smaller than 2 cm; however, nodules up to 10 cm in size have been reported [3,5]. These lesions have been described in medical literature as irregular nodules with an altered coloration, hardened, pruriginous, ulcerated or with variable discharge [3,5,6]. Unless there is skin ulceration, it is usually a painless lesion [5].

In our series, associated signs or symptoms were described in 60% of the patients, predominantly pain and abdominal distension secondary to ascites. Notably, in 40% of the cases a thorough examination was not carried out or the findings were not documented in the medical record.

The signs or symptoms commonly reported in the literature are nonspecific but may suggest the presence of an underlying malignancy, such as anorexia, weight loss, nausea or vomiting, abdominal pain or ascites [5] as well as gastrointestinal bleeding or abdominal mass [3]. In the case of history of a previously treated tumoral disease, it is essential to have a high index of suspicion [2].

In some cases, the suspected diagnosis is supported by findings on
imaging tests, such as ultrasonography, magnetic resonance imaging, or computed tomography [2,3,6]. When a SMJN is suspected, it is necessary to reach a definitive diagnosis, either by biopsy of the lesion or by needle aspiration cytology [3,7].

To perform the anatomopathological examination, a surgical resection of the umbilical node was performed in 13 cases (87%), mainly in the context of cytoreductive surgery for ovarian cancer (6 cases); and a core needle biopsy in 2 cases (Figure 1). Furthermore, in 4 cases (27%) the finding of umbilical metastases was incidental.

Studies have reported the stomach to be the most common origin of the primary tumor in men, and the ovary in women [1,3,5]. Adenocarcinoma is the most usually histopathological type found, described in approximately 75% of cases; other types described are epidermoid and undifferentiated tumors [3,5,7]. In this review, histological analysis revealed adenocarcinoma in 93%, half of them poorly differentiated. The origin of the primary tumor was ovarian (53%), pancreaticobiliary (27%), bladder, mammary or prostate.

The delay in the diagnosis of this entity and therefore in the treatment of the underlying malignancy may lead to a decrease in survival, being the analysis of those suspicious lesions fundamental [3].

Diverse dissemination mechanisms to the umbilicus have been described given its anatomical relationships. The most common way of umbilical involvement is considered to be by extension from the adjacent peritoneum [5], with cases of metastases described in patients with a previous umbilical hernia [4]. Lymphatic spread may occur via the superficial or deep node systems from the axillary, inguinal, para-aortic, intern mammary or external iliac nodes. Another way of dissemination could be through the embryonic remnants that conform ligaments that are attached to the umbilicus such as the omphalomesenteric duct, the urachus or the round ligament of umbilical involvement is considered to be by extension from the adjacent peritoneum [5], with cases of metastases described in patients with a previous umbilical hernia [4]. Lymphatic spread may occur via the superficial or deep node systems from the axillary, inguinal, para-aortic, intern mammary or external iliac nodes. Another way of dissemination could be through the embryonic remnants that conform ligaments that are attached to the umbilicus such as the omphalomesenteric duct, the urachus or the round ligament of the liver; or by hematogenous dissemination either arterial or venous [5,8]. Furthermore, there is a possibility of iatrogenic tumor implantation after laparoscopic surgery [3,4].

Differential diagnosis should be made with existing entities such as endometriosis, foreign body granuloma, omphalitis, umbilical hernia; as well as benign or malignant tumors from skin origin [7]. Nonetheless, in 15% to 30% of cases primary origin is unknown [3].

The prognosis of the disease is determined by the origin of the primary tumor [9], and could be influenced by the moment in which the umbilical node is diagnosed (before or after the diagnosis of the neoplasia) [5]. Other factors, such as immunosuppression, could be risk factors for tumor dissemination [7].

Survival from the time of diagnosis of metastases is variable (2 to 11 months), being higher in the case of ovarian neoplasms; and it could be increased with chemotherapy or surgical resection of the primary tumor [3,6,9,10]. Survival greater than 2 years has been reported in less than 15% of cases [3].

In this study, in 93% of cases simultaneous distant metastatic affection was histologically or cytologically confirmed. In deceased patients, the median survival was 5 months (1 to 72), a higher survival rate was observed in patients diagnosed with ovarian cancer.

Treatment varies according to the origin [2,5]. It is often palliative given the extent of the disease at the time of diagnosis, but more aggressive measures may be taken in selected patients [2].

Conclusion

In conclusion, umbilical metastasis is lesions that could go unnoticed and whose diagnostic delay could lead to a decrease in survival. Therefore, it is essential to carry out an in-depth physical examination, analyze suspicious lesions and establish an individualized treatment.
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