Osteosarcoma with Metastatic Disease at Presentation, Surgical Management: Two Cases Report
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Abstract

Osteosarcoma is the most common primary sarcoma of bone, most of them occurring in adolescents. Around 15% and 30% of patients with malignant bone tumors present with metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis. There is limited evidence on the best therapeutic strategy in these patients. We report two cases of osteosarcoma of the proximal femur in patients with metastatic disease at diagnosis, who were treated surgically and evaluated their evolution throughout follow-up and review the main literature on this complex disease.

Introduction

Osteosarcoma is the most common primary sarcoma of bone, most of them occurring in adolescents [1,2]. Around 15% and 30% of patients with malignant bone tumors present with metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis [1,3-6], which badly influences the prognosis of these patients. Five-year survival rates decrease from 70% to 8-35% in metastatic disease [3,5,7-13]. Because the treatment of these patients depends on many factors such as prior quality of life, metastatic number and site [3,14] and resectability of the primary lesion among others, there is limited evidence on what is the best strategy in these patients. There are few reported cases of the surgical treatment of the primary lesion in these patients. We report two cases of osteosarcoma with metastatic disease, which were treated surgically and evaluate their evolution throughout follow-up.

Case Series

Case 1

A 15-year-old male with a free medical history was evaluated at our center in February 2018. He was complaining of right hip pain that extends through the knee and limp for the previous 4 months. There was no history of significant trauma. The patient complained of sharp pain, also at night, that wasn’t relieved with painkillers. At the moment of consultation, he also presented pain at rest. On clinical examination, there was touch tenderness in the groin area and the range of internal rotation wasn’t relieved with painkillers. At the moment of consultation, he also presented pain at rest. On clinical examination, there was touch tenderness in the groin area and the range of internal rotation wasn’t relieved with painkillers. At the moment of consultation, he also presented pain at rest.
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patient finished chemotherapy in January 2019. Restaging evaluation imaging showed local recurrence in pubic bone and surrounding soft tissue (Figure 6), with no systemic dissemination, so that another surgery was done. Resection of left bone up to contralateral pubic bone was attempted but infiltrated tissue was friable and surrounding adjacent to femoral neurovascular bundle, R2 resection was done. Decision was taken to start second line chemotherapy Ifosfamide and Etoposide. During the next following month, imaging revealed massive pelvic and thoracic invasion and metastasis of frontal bone. After 5 cycles of second line chemotherapy, progression of disease was manifested, and brain metastases appeared. A third line chemotherapy was started with Gemcitabine and Doxetaxel. In May 2020, he died of brain metastasis, 25 months after diagnosis.

Case 2

A 17-year-old male with a free medical history presented in April 2018 with a 2-month painless lump on the proximal third of the left thigh and limp. He also had 5-kilogram weight loss in the last month, anorexia and asthenia. There was no history of preceding trauma. He had maternal family history of colorectal, pancreatic and brain cancer. On clinical examination, there was quadriceps muscle atrophy and a visible, tender, tough bump on the proximal third of the thigh. Limited internal rotation of the hip was noticed. On the anteroposterior and axial radiographs of the left hip a permeative lesion was observed with lytic and sclerotic areas, with an osteoid matrix with imprecise borders that breaks the cortical layer, producing a discontinuous periosteal reaction (Figure 7). MRI examination showed a proliferative lesion with a large soft tissue mass compatible with the diagnosis of osteosarcoma of the proximal femur (Figure 8). Thoracic-abdominal CT scan (Figure 9) revealed lymphatic and pulmonary metastasis. There were hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes and multiple, bilateral, pulmonary nodules. CT guided core needle biopsy was performed. The microscopic examination reported an osteogenic sarcoma. The patient started chemotherapy according to EURAMOS-1 2011 protocol based on methotrexate, cisplatin and Adriamycin. After 10
weeks of treatment, restaging showed partial primary tumor response and similar lymphatic and pulmonary lesions. The patient finished chemotherapy in March 2019. Multidisciplinary team for primary bone cancer disposed of surgical management or primary tumor, as the size of the tumor had decreased and limb-salvage surgery could be done, and ongoing second line chemotherapy with gemcitabine and docetaxel. Primary tumor surgery was accomplished in June 2019 (Figure 10). A wide margin resection of proximal femur was carried out. Reconstruction was performed with dual mobility cup and femoral, cemented, MEGASYSTEM-C protheses by Link with soft tissue adhesion to trevira tube. In January 2020, he complained of aggravated hip pain and swollen thigh, probably after a forceful twist. Dislocation of tumoral prostheses was seen on simple X-ray. The patient had an urgent surgery with open reduction of dislocation, since closed reduction was unsuccessful. Trevira tube was interposed between the acetabulum and the cup. Stable reduction was achieved. Restaging protocol imaging after finishing chemotherapy in May 2020 revealed no local recurrence. Besides, it was discovered brand new pleural nodules, two of them over 3 cm long. In September 2020, according to the multidisciplinary team, resection of both nodules was accomplished and second line chemotherapy was carried on. During the next following months, the patient had multiple admissions to the hospital because of tumoral and infectious pleural effusion. Despite executing pulmonary radiation therapy, the patient continued with multiple pleural effusions and enlarged pulmonary and pleural nodules. Effusion evacuation and pleurodesis with doxycycline was done. Nowadays, the patient is alive, awaiting for response for new chemotherapy clinical trials.

**Discussion**

Debate has been going on about the best clinical management of patients with metastatic disease at presentation in bone sarcomas. It is clear that early-stage disease is best handled with surgical resection of primary tumor and adjunct treatment as chemotherapy, which have good survival rates up to 70% [3,5,7-12]. However, the strategy for metastatic disease remains a matter of debate [3,16]. There is not enough evidence regarding what medical treatment could improve survival. As per NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology for bone cancers 2018 [6], chemotherapy is recommended for all patients regardless of the status of surgical margins and the time for chemotherapy should be between 28 to 49 weeks following wide excision. Recently, Malik et al. [17] analyzed the United States National Cancer Database to answer this question. As the authors remark, quality-of-life wasn’t taken into account as well as the number and location of metastases were not differentiated in the database. In line with other studies [3,13,18,19], they showed that surgical resection of primary tumors improved survival rates, regardless of resection or not of metastatic sites. After multivariate regression analysis, the authors could not observe an independent survival benefit for resection of metastases, probably because of the biases mentioned. On the other hand [20], showed that combination with surgical resection of pulmonary metastases substantially improved overall survival, as recommended by other authors [16]. The consensus view of most articles [14,17,20] is that aggressive chemotherapy and surgical removal of primary tumors helps to improve survival. Aside from all these studies, the results may be limited by a lack of generalizability. These findings may be helpful for decision making, but treatment of these patients should always be decided in multidisciplinary groups, based on individual characteristics, location of lesion and resectability [16].

**Conclusion**

In this work, we have reported two cases of metastatic osteosarcoma. Improved treatment modalities have led to an increase in the survival rate of primary and secondary lesions in
patients with osteosarcoma. A multimodality treatment approach including induction chemotherapy followed by surgical resection and postoperative radiotherapy was planned for these cases. The consensus opinion of most authors is that aggressive chemotherapy and surgical removal of primary tumors help improve survival.
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