Long Term Clinical and Functional Outcome of Lateral Supramalleolar Flap for Soft Tissue Coverage of Foot and Ankle Defects: Largest Case Series at a Tertiary Care Hospital
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Abstract

Background: Reconstruction of defects around foot and ankle is a challenging issue. We wanted to assess the clinical and functional outcome of lateral supramalleolar flap for coverage of soft tissue defects around the foot and ankle.

Methods: This analytic case series was conducted at Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi. The data was collected from medical records and patient interviewing. Patients with soft tissue defects around foot and ankle and coverage with supramalleolar flaps were included. The various parameters of interest (causes, flaps dimensions, vascular variations, and outcome) were included in the prestructured proforma. The data was analyzed with SPSS version 25.

Results: Forty-seven patients were included in the study from May 1999 to December 2019. The male to female ratio was 35:12. The mechanism of injury was varied. Eighteen cases were pedicle island flaps whereas two were peninsular rotation flaps. The maximum flap size harvested was 20 cm x 8 cm distal flap necrosis occurred in one patient requiring split thickness skin graft. In eight patients’ flap was rotated as ‘delay flap’. In 12 cases the peroneal artery perforator was absent, the flap being based on inferolateral collateral artery from anterior tibial artery. Majority of the patients fall in excellent category based on self-designed assessment tool.

Conclusion: The lateral supramalleolar flap provided coverage to almost all regions of the foot and ankle with a cosmetically acceptable donor and recipient site. There were no problems with shoe wear, only two patients requiring defattening for cosmetic reasons. Microvascular expertise was required for a predictable outcome.
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Introduction

Soft tissue defects around foot and ankle commonly occur as a result of Road Traffic Accidents (RTA) that may involve bone, tendon and neurovascular structures [1]. Other causes include blast injuries, machine/industrial injuries, resection of tumors, and neuropathic ulcers [2]. The incidence of such injuries in Karachi (an industrial and heavily populated city), is quite high because of a poor roads traffic system (the city ranked 4th in road traffic accidents in the world [3]). Road traffic accidents, gunshot and bomb blast injuries frequently lead to open fractures with skin loss. Similarly, cases of chronic osteomyelitis, chronic burns, tumor excision and skin necrosis due to irradiation around the foot and ankle require soft tissue coverage. Such cases present very late and are commonly associated with infections. These cases pose a great challenge due to underlying infections, limited local soft tissue availability and need for secondary reconstruction of involved structures (bone, tendon nerves and vessels). These wounds require staged reconstruction; initial skeletal stabilization followed by coverage with thin, pliable soft tissue and subsequent repair/reconstruction of tendon.
of the weight bearing region of the heel and defects reconstructed with other flaps were excluded from the study. All the patients were operated by one surgeon and loupe magnification used in all cases. No preoperative Doppler or angiography was done to assess the state of vascular pattern of the flap. The protocol of study was approved from Departmental Research Committee (DRC) and ethical review committee ERC. The final clinical and functional assessment was done by two research officers based on a self-assessment tool. This tool has four major parameters of assessment: percentage of coverage of defect by flap, cosmetic appearance, weight bearing status and Activities of Daily Life (ADL). The data was analyzed in SPSS version 25.

**Dissection technique**

The patient is placed supine with a sand bag under the buttock and pneumatic tourniquet on the thigh. The skin island is planned according to the defect. The distal limit should include the point of emergence of the perforating branch of the peroneal artery, four finger breadths above the lateral malleolus. The proximal limit reaches the mid leg. The anterior limit is the tendon of the tibialis anterior muscle and the posterior limit should not cross the posterior border of the fibula. Then an incision is drawn anterior to the lateral malleolus and reaches to the depression of the sinus tarsi on the lateral aspect of the hind foot. First the anterior margin of the flap is elevated, isolating the pedicle lying on the tibiobifemoral ligament. The superficial peroneal nerve is divided proximal to the flap and buried in the muscles. The pedicle is exposed. The posterior margin of the flap is then elevated. At this stage the flap remains attached only to the septum between the anterior and lateral compartments. The perforating branch of the peroneal artery is then clamped temporarily to see the adequacy of retrograde flow in the skin island (Figure A). If the flow is good, then the perforator is ligated proximal to the emergence of skin perforators. If the flow is deemed unsatisfactory, the flap is sutured back on the bed and delayed for 48 h. In the end the septum is incised sub-periosteally and the flap rotated as a distally based pedicle island to the required defect. Release of the pedicle up to the sinus tarsi enhances rotation by adding length to the pedicle. Division of the fascia on the posterior border of extensor digitorum brevis helps to avoid compression on the pedicle. The closure of the donor site is achieved by suturing the peroneal and extensor muscles together. A split thickness skin graft is usually required for coverage of the donor site defect. In case of proximal rotation flap, the pedicle may not need exposure, and the

**Patients and Methods**

This was an analytical case series; all cases done by a single surgeon from May 1999 to December 2019, and included all the patients who required soft tissue coverage for defects around the foot and ankle. Data was collected through a structured proforma that included demographic parameters, causes of soft tissue defects, (secondary to open fractures, following debridement for chronic osteomyelitis, infected fractures, infected non-unions, tumor excision, pressure sores and scar contracture as a result of old burns), site of defect, size and type of flap (peninsular, antegrade, retrograde), per-operative findings, presence or absence of peroneal perforator and inferolateral collateral artery, complications and outcome of flap. The data was collected from medical records and patient interviewing. The patients with advanced peripheral vascular disease, advanced diabetes mellitus, unavailability of skin, very large skin defects, radiation injuries, defects

---

**Figure A:** Showing schematic diagram of anastomosis of vessels around ankle and presence of Peroneal perforator. 1. Tibia 2. Fibula. 3. Peroneal perforator. 4. Anterior tibial artery. 5. Anterior lateral malleolar artery. 6. Talus. 7. Lateral tarsal artery. 8. Medial malleolar artery 9. Medial tarsal artery.
flap rotated on a distal hinge. Similarly, for coverage of very distal defects on the bases of toes, a flap based on compound pedicle can be harvested. In case of absent peroneal perforator, the flap may be based on antegrade circulation from inferolateral collateral artery, a branch of the anterior tibial artery.

**Results**

There were 47 patients included in the study out of 51 with a male to female ratio of 35:12. 4 patients could not be traced for final follow up analysis. The mean age of patients was 31.04 years ranging from 4 to 77 years. The average follow up time period ranged from 8 months to 20 years, average being 13 years. Right to left side ratio was 26:21. Table 1 represents the results.

Trauma was the major cause of soft tissue defect in 18 cases, followed by infections in 15, blast injuries in 5, tendoachilles coverage in 4, contracture release in 3 and coverage of tumor defect in 2 cases. The various sites reconstructed with lateral supramalleolar flaps were: Dorsum of foot in 24 cases, ankle in 12 and around the heel in 11 cases. See Table 1 distal partial thickness flap necrosis occurred in 1 case, in which adipose-fascia survived and split thickness skin grafting served the purpose. Flap tip necrosis occurred in 5 cases that healed with re-epithelialization without intervention. Hematoma formation in 1 case required drainage by removal of couple of sutures and went on to healing with excellent result. Significant venous congestion occurred in 4 cases requiring suture removal and later re-suturing after 48 h. Distal wound dehiscence occurred in one case due to already present infection by gram negative rods, requiring debridement and re-suturing of the flap. In 8 cases the flap was retained on the donor bed after harvesting due to doubtful circulation on rotation to the recipient area. All these flaps were rotated electively as ‘DELAY FLAPS’ to the recipient area after 48 h. In 12 patients the peroneal artery perforator was absent and the flap was based on antegrade circulation from inferolateral collateral artery, a branch of the anterior tibial artery. These cases of flaps based on inferolateral collateral artery from anterior tibial artery did not have any complications like venous congestion, flap tip/partial necrosis. The complications of congestion, flap tip and/or partial necrosis were noted in those cases (9 out of 35) where peroneal perforator was present.

The maximum flap size harvested was 20 cm × 8 cm which is larger than harvested by Masquelet AC (15 cm × 8 cm) in his clinical series [9]. The average operating time was 60 min to 90 min and the donor site was covered with split thickness skin graft in the same setting. There was no flap failure. Two patients required de-fattening of the flap for cosmetic reasons. The donor site was cosmetically acceptable to majority of the patients.

We evaluated results of our patients based on four parameters: Coverage of defects in terms of percentage, cosmetic appearance, weight bearing status and Activities of Daily Living (ADL). Each parameter was divided into four grades: Excellent, good, fair and poor and each grade was given a specific number: 5, 4, 3, and 2 points respectively. (Refer to (Table 2)) An excellent grade on all accounts had the maximum points of 20, so 17 to 20 was graded as excellent, 13 to 16 as good, 9 to 12 as fair and 8 as poor. Based on this clinical and functional evaluation, majority of our cases fell in the “excellent” category - 42 (89.4%), good - 4 (8.6%) and fair - 1 (2%). Cosmetically, the flap was acceptable for 32 individuals, 9 patients reported it as “thick”, 3 complained of hairy skin and three patients labeled the skin as insensitive. Regarding functions (ADL) and weight bearing status, 44 patients were satisfied, 3 had problems in sports activities and shoe wear, and all had full weight bearing status.

**Discussion**

The potential problems of the flap [9] include some amount of venous congestion in reversed island flaps, painful neuroma as a result of lesion of the superficial peroneal nerve, anatomic vascular variations [9,14-20] and the donor site concern specially in young women. The usefulness of lateral supramalleolar flap has been demonstrated by its use as a delay flap [18,24] for tendoachilles coverage in difficult situations like Werner’s syndrome, coverage of ischemic ulcer in Buerger’s disease [19], as a free flap for oral coverage [14] and limb reconstruction [20].

Certain anatomic variations of the flap include the inconstant but frequent presence of a proximal inferolateral collateral artery [15,16].
variations in the level of anastomosis between the perforating branch of the peroneal artery and the anterolateral malleolar artery arising from the anterior tibial artery, presence of the anterior peroneal artery, presence of a vascular network instead of a well-defined artery, absence of the perforating branch of the peroneal artery [15,16] and the basis of cutaneous circulation solely on anterior tibial perforators.

We encountered different variations in vascular anatomy as compared to other series. In 12 out of 47 cases the peroneal artery perforator was absent (Figure B), which is a larger ratio and contradicts the previous data [9,15]. Dubreil Chambradel [8] found the peroneal artery perforator absent in 10 out of 165 cadaveric dissections. Relevant literature [9,11-14,25-29] shows a persistent and constant presence of perforator of peroneal artery but our experience is different. We detected 12 cases out of 47 in which the peroneal artery perforator was replaced with inferolateral collateral artery from the anterior tibial artery. This finding is consistent with the finding of Le Nen et al. [15,16] who suggested that inferior lateral collateral artery is the basis of lateral supramalleolar flap based on cadaveric and clinical studies. We think antegrade flap based on inferolateral collateral artery is much more vascularized, robust and perfused. The chances of its partial or tip necrosis are less, as we have seen in our study. In flaps based on the inferior collateral artery, we noted that artery bifurcated just like perforator of peroneal artery. The ascending branch of this inferolateral collateral artery supplies the anterolateral
Table 4: Scorings based on Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes Scores/Grades</th>
<th>No. of Patients (%) (N=47)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>42 (89.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>4 (8.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Score ± S.D</td>
<td>18.9 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

skin of the leg and descending branch anastomoses with anterolateral malleolar artery (Figure 8), forming a continuous channel till the sinus tarsi. This arterial channel forms a basis for the flap.

We noticed that the incidence of complications like venous congestion, flap tip necrosis was zero in the 12 cases where flap was based on antegrade circulation of inferolateral collateral artery from the anterior tibial artery. All complications were noted in those cases where peroneal artery perforator was present and flap was based on the retrograde circulation and ascending branch of peroneal artery perforator.

Eight flaps needed to be left on donor bed after harvesting due to doubtful circulation and later rotated to the recipient site as "DELAY FLAPS" after 48 h without any problems [24]. Awareness of vascular variations is important and use of delay flap in case of doubtful circulation is an excellent option. Even without Duplex imaging, use of magnification was enough to evaluate the vascular anatomy per-operatively. It was possible to harvest the flap on all occasions despite vascular variations.

The lateral supramalleolar is not the first option for weight bearing heel coverage [9,11-13], but the arc of rotation does allow one to cover the region in problem cases.

The majority of patients we encountered in our series were those with road side accidents and bomb blast wounds, males being the major victims. Most of the fractures were stabilized before flap coverage. Although many cases came to us after more than 12 h of injury, we did not encounter any flap failure as a result of uncontrollable infection. We compared our results with the major case series of supramalleolar flaps done since its inception by Masquelet [2]. The comparison was done in terms of flap survival, complications, functional and aesthetic outcome. The complication rate is variable in different series. Masquelet and Valenti [9,11] have reported a complication rate of 7.6% each for venous congestion, partial necrosis and hematoma formation, and a flap survival rate of 90%. Touam [25] reported a flap necrosis rate of 11% with a survival rate of 77%. The complication rate of flap necrosis and venous congestion was higher in older series [26,27] ranging from 5% to 20%. Recent series [28,29] show a relatively lesser rate of complications in terms of flap necrosis, venous congestion and infections.

There are few pertinent points to our series worth mentioning. It is the largest case series by a single surgeon with a very long follow up. The second aspect noted was that complications were associated with retrograde circulation (with perforator of peroneal artery) like partial or tip necrosis of flap; may be angiosome territory of ascending branch of peroneal perforator is less/small as compared to ascending branch of inferolateral collateral artery that supplies up to mid leg (ante grade circulation). This aspect is well supported by other authors also [15,16]. The last feature of our case series is that we developed a self-designed tool to assess the clinical and functional outcome of our flaps and patients. Four parameters were included cosmetic appearance, coverage of defect, weight bearing status of foot and ADL. Each variable was given four grade and four numbers correspondingly. The assessment was done by two independent researchers (doctors) who evaluated the patients in clinics and the final analysis of data was performed by an independent third party. The self-designed assessment tool and scoring system needs validation by other researchers. This was an effort to determine the outcome of flaps objectively.

This flap can be raised as peninsular when a skin paddle is kept intact with flap at the pivot point of rotation. Most of the flaps are raised retrograde when peroneal perforator is intact; the pedicle length can be increased along with its anastomosis with anterolateral malleolar artery and raised up to sinus tarsi. We used this flap safely for the defects around the heel, tendoachilles, perimalleolar area, ankle joint, and dorsum of foot up to toes and plantar aspect of foot except weight bearing area of heel. This is an excellent flap for coverage of above-mentioned defects provided the surgeon is familiar with the pedicle length and vascular anatomy.
with anatomy, vascular variations and microsurgical techniques. This procedure has to be done with patience as the dissection is tedious, just like posterior interosseous artery flap in upper extremity.

The understanding of fasciocutaneous flaps [20] and cutaneous vascular territories of the leg [21] has greatly aided in the development of flap surgery. Newer techniques and modifications of lateral supramalleolar flap as adipofascial flaps continue to emerge with good clinical success for small and medium size defects [22]. The study substantiates that the lateral supramalleolar fasciocutaneous flap is a reliable choice of flap for medium to large size wounds in the region of perimalleolar area, dorsum of foot and foot and ankle. As there can be vascular variations [9,14-17], therefore, color duplex imaging for preoperative localization of perforators and magnification for dissection around the vessel will greatly facilitate the outcome, especially for relatively less experienced microvascular surgeons. The usefulness of lateral supramalleolar flap for coverage of soft tissue defects around the foot and ankle is undoubted.
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