Efficacy and Side Effects in HER2-Positive Advanced Breast Cancer Patients Treated with Pyrrotinib: A Real-World Study in China
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Abstract

Background: Pyrrotinib is a molecular and irreversible tyrosine kinase inhibitor independently developed in China, and its efficacy against HER2-positive breast cancer in the real world is not clear. In this study, we evaluated the efficacy and safety of pyrrotinib in the treatment of HER2-positive advanced breast cancer based on real-world evidence.

Material and Methods: We designed a prospective observational study. Thirty-six patients with HER2-positive advanced breast cancer from a single medical center were included in the study from December 2018 to February 2021. All patients received the oral HER2 receptor inhibitor pyrrotinib and received concurrent chemotherapy or endocrinotherapy. The follow-up endpoint is set as April 01st, 2021. The primary endpoint is Objective Response Rate (ORR) and Disease Control Rate (DCR), and the secondary endpoint is Progression-Free Survival (PFS) and related side effects.

Results: By the end point of follow-up, a total of 17 patients had progressed (including 6 deaths), and the progression-free survival rate was 52.78%. The median PFS was 13 months (PFS range: 3 to 22 months). As the best response, 4 patients achieved CR, 20 patients achieved PR, 9 patients achieved SD, and 3 patient developed PD. The ORR was 66.67% and DCR was 91.67%. In the analysis, first-line pyrrotinib treatment appeared to have higher ORR (88.88% vs. 59.26%), but there was no significant difference. In addition, pyrrotinib showed significant efficacy in patients with brain metastases, with an ORR of 42.85%. In terms of safety, the incidence of diarrhea was 80.55%, but only 4 patients had grade 3 diarrhea, which was tolerable after the drug dose was reduced; 1 patient had grade 4 neutropenia and grade 3 and thrombocytopenia, which were considered to be related to the chemotherapy drugs. The incidence of other adverse reactions was low, and all were grade 1 to 2.

Conclusion: Pyrrotinib combined with chemotherapy has a significant effect on HER2-positive breast cancer, and there is still a high ORR in patients who fail multiple lines of treatment. Side effects are overall controllable and safe.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is currently one of the most important and common malignant tumor diseases leading to female death worldwide [1], and the incidence is still increasing and showing a tendency to be younger [2]. Human Epidermal growth factor Receptor-2 (HER2) positive breast cancer is a pathological type with a very rapid progression of the disease, and its clinical manifestations are aggressive, prone to visceral and central nervous system metastases, and have a poor prognosis [3]. Anti-HER-2 therapy is currently the most important treatment for patients with HER-2 positive breast cancer in clinical practice. The study showed that the 5-year survival rate of HER2-positive breast cancer patients without anti-HER2 therapy was 13.2%; the absolute difference was 11.3% compared with HER2-negative patients [4]. With the clinical application of drugs such as trastuzumab [5], pertuzumab [6] and lapatinib [7], the situation has been greatly improved, of
which trastuzumab is currently the first-line treatment for HER-2 positive advanced breast cancer patients [8,9]. However, anti-HER2 therapy after drug resistance remains a clinical challenge.

At present, the therapeutic drugs targeting HER2 in clinical practice include monoclonal antibodies, tyrosine kinase inhibitors and antibody drug conjugates. The marketed pyrotinib in 2018 is a multi-target tyrosine kinase inhibitor targeting HER1, HER2 and HER4 intracellular kinase regions independently developed in China. The current phase II and III clinical studies [10,11] have encouraging results. In the multicenter randomized controlled study of MA et al. [12], it was shown that pyrotinib combined with capecitabine had a high objective response rate of 78.5% and progression-free survival of 18.1 months in the treatment of HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. It is not difficult to find by reviewing the clinical study data that: The physical status of patients is relatively good (ECOG score 0–1); about 30% of patients experience only one chemotherapy after recurrence and metastasis, and nearly 50% of patients do not receive chemotherapy after recurrence and metastasis; the combined chemotherapeutic drugs are limited to capecitabine. At present, the treatment data of pyrotinib in the real world are limited. Considering that in clinical practice, no matter the performance status of patients, the times of chemotherapy or the combination of chemotherapeutic drugs have different degrees of differences from clinical studies, we designed a prospective observational study to clarify the efficacy and safety of pyrotinib in the real world, in order to provide more reference for clinical practice.

Materials and Methods

Patients

A total of 36 patients with HER2-positive advanced breast cancer admitted from December 2018 to February 2021 were retrospectively analyzed. General information, pathology and immunohistochemistry, treatment process, imaging data during pyrotinib administration, and side effects were collected from the patients.

Treatment method

The initial dose of oral pyrotinib was 320 mg (increased to 400 mg/day if well tolerated) or 400 mg. The combination of capecitabine, submit, vincitabine, taxanes, cemorelbine and etoposide was used. For grade 1 to 2 adverse reactions, corresponding symptomatic treatment should be given without dose adjustment; if grade 3 or higher adverse reactions occur, the dose of pyrotinib or chemotherapeutic drugs should be reduced according to the specific type of adverse reactions.

Efficacy evaluation

According to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) Version 1.1. Complete Response (CR) is the disappearance of all target lesions; Partial Response (PR) is ≥30% decrease in the sum of the long diameters of baseline lesions; Stable Disease (SD) is a decrease in the sum of the long diameters of baseline lesions but without PR or increase but without Progressive Disease (PD); PD is an increase in the sum of the long diameters of baseline lesions of more than 20%, an increase in the minimum absolute value of 5 mm or the appearance of new lesions. Objective Response Rate (ORR): CR + PR, D Control Rate (DCR): CR + PR + SD.

Assessment of adverse events (AEs)

The National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI-CTC 4.0) was used for judgment, and the AEs were classified into grade 1–5.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 23.0 was used to statistically analyze the data: the enumeration data were expressed as an example (percentage) [n (%)], χ² test or Fisher’s exact test was performed, and the test level was α=0.05; there was a significant difference in the evaluation results at P<0.05. Kaplan-Meier curves for patients’ PFS were plotted using GraphPad 7.0.

Results

Patient baseline data

A total of 35 female patients and 1 male with HER2-positive advanced breast cancer admitted from December 2018 to February 2021 were included, aged 29 to 82 years, the average age was 49 years old. Nine patients had ECOG score greater than 1. Twenty patients had negative HR status. Nineteen patients had internal metastasis, 7 patients had central nervous system metastasis; the number of lines of anti-HER2 therapy with pyrotinib and the specific combination of chemotherapeutic drugs were 9 (Table 1).

Overall response

As of the follow-up by February 2021, a total of 17 patients had progression (including 6 patients who died); 19 patients were still in medication follow-up, and the progression-free survival rate was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Baseline data of patients.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 50 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection for HER2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of lines of pyrotinib used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of Evaluable Lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonvisceral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination chemotherapy regimen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capecitabine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cemorelbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinorelbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The anti-HER2 efficacy of pyrotinib is shown in Table 2. Among the 36 evaluable patients, the best response was achieved by 4 patients, 20 patients achieved PR, 9 patients achieved SD, and 3 patients achieved PD. The ORR was 66.67% and DCR was 91.67%. Of the 36 evaluable patients, the onset time was 2 months (range, 0.5 months to 3 months) and the optimal response time was 4 months (range, 2 to 7 months).

### Analysis of anti-HER2 efficacy

The anti-HER2 efficacy of pyrotinib is shown in Table 2. Among them, the ORR was as high as 88.88% in patients receiving first-line therapy with pyrotinib, and only 59.26% in patients receiving 2 and 3 lines of therapy with pyrotinib, but there was no significant difference in the ORR by the number of lines of therapy (P=0.05). The ORR of pyrotinib combined with capcitabine chemotherapy was 75.00%, which was not significantly different from the ORR of pyrotinib combined with taxanes or other chemotherapeutic drugs (P=0.05); patients with HR - status seemed to have a higher ORR (85.1% vs. 62.50%) compared with patients with HR + status, but no statistical difference was observed (P=0.05).

The ORR of patients with visceral metastasis and non-visceral metastasis was 63.16% and 60.00%, respectively (Table 2). In addition, pyrotinib also had significant effect on patients with brain metastases, with ORR of 42.85%. Three of the seven patients with brain metastases achieved PR, and one of them was observed to have a reduction in the lesion by reexamination of resonance imaging (MRI) more than 40 days after medication, with significant regression of the surrounding edema zone and significant relief of the patient’s headache and dizziness symptoms (Photo 1); the other patient also had a significant reduction in the brain lesion by MRI 4 months after medication (Photo 2).

### Discussion

Pyrotinib is a multi-target tyrosine kinase inhibition independently developed in China, with targets including HER1, HER2 and HER4, which can covalently bind to the ATP binding site of its intracellular kinase region, prevent the formation of homo- or heterodimers, irreversibly inhibit autophosphorylation, block the activation of downstream signaling pathways, and inhibit tumor cell growth [13-15]. Therefore, it is more advantageous than macromolecular monoclonal antibodies acting on extracellular receptors such as trastuzumab and small molecule dual-target tyrosine kinase inhibition acting on intracellular receptors such as lapatinib. Although the phase II clinical study [12] compared the efficacy of pyrotinib combined with capcitabine and lapatinib combined with capcitabine in HER2-positive advanced breast cancer, the objective response rate was 78.5% in the pyrotinib group, but the number of patients enrolled in phase II was only 128, and the patients in clinical trials were strictly screened and needed to be further verified and summarized in the real world after marketing.

This study analyzed the efficacy of 36 doses of pyrotinib combined with chemotherapy in the treatment of HER2-positive advanced breast cancer patients in the real world. The optimal ORR was 66.67%, slightly inferior to 78.5% in phase II clinical study [12]. The possible reason was that the baseline characteristics of patients in phase II clinical study were consistent after strict screening. In the study, the oral dose of pyrotinib was 400 mg, and the combination chemotherapy drugs were capcitabine. In this study, the dose of pyrotinib in 36 patients was 320 mg or 400 mg, with a wide variety of combination chemotherapy drugs, including capcitabine, capcitabine and...
paclitaxel, etc. The 2019 ASCO head reported the phase III PHENIX clinical study of pyrotinib, and the PFS of pyrotinib combined with capecitabine regimen reached 11.1 months [16]. The phase II clinical study of MA et al. [12] showed that the PFS of pyrotinib combined with capecitabine in the treatment of HER2-positive advanced breast cancer reached 18.1 months. In our study, the PFS of patients was 13 months, which suggests that pyrotinib has a good efficacy in second-line anti-HER2 therapy in the real world.

The lung and liver are common sites of visceral metastasis in HER2-positive breast cancer, and the presence of visceral metastasis often predicts a poor prognosis. Our real-world analysis showed that the ORR in patients who developed visceral metastases was as high as 63.16%. In the phase II clinical study of pyrotinib [12], there was also a significant advantage of pyrotinib with or without visceral metastasis (HR for visceral metastasis: 0.37, 95% CI: 0.14-0.97; HR for non-visceral metastasis: 0.35, 95% CI: 0.21-0.61). The HER2-positive subtype is a risk factor for brain metastases from breast cancer, with a 5-year cumulative incidence as high as 15.7% [7,17], and ultimately about 50% of patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer develop brain metastases [18]. Brain metastasis itself and radiotherapy for brain metastasis can lead to impaired cognitive and sensory function, which becomes the main factor affecting the life expectancy and quality of life of patients. Cytotoxic drugs have limited effects on brain metastases due to blood-brain barrier limitations. In recent years, with the clinical application of small molecule targeted drugs, more patients with brain metastases from breast cancer have seen hope from small molecule targeted therapy [12]. The results of pyrotinib treatment in patients with brain metastases from breast cancer were encouraging, with ORR as high as 42.85% in patients, and 3 of 7 patients with brain metastases achieved PR and 3 SD. In addition, the preliminary results of the PHENIX phase III clinical trial showed that
in patients who progressed after trastuzumab treatment, the PFS of patients with baseline brain metastases could reach 6.9 months under the premise of no radiotherapy, and the proportion of patients with new brain metastases after pyrotinib treatment was lower in patients without baseline brain metastases (1.2% vs. 3.6%) [16]. This shows that pyrotinib can effectively reduce and control the time of brain metastases, also has a good effect on patients with brain metastases, and effectively improve the quality of life of patients. However, there were few patients with brain metastases in this study, and the treatment data may be biased, which needs to be verified by further randomized studies with large sample size.

At present, in addition to capecitabine, no relevant reports have been observed to evaluate the specific efficacy of pyrotinib combined with other chemotherapeutic drugs. According to the experience of trastuzumab, tegafur [19], paclitaxel [20], vinorelbine [21] and cemcitabine can [22] also be selected for combination chemotherapy. Therefore, when we developed the combination chemotherapy regimen, we selected other drugs for patients who had previously used capecitabine and also achieved good results. In addition, our study showed that the AEs treated with pyrotinib were mainly diarrhea with an incidence of 80.55%, which is consistent with the results of previous studies [12,14,16]. One patient also had grade 4 neutropenia and grade 3 thrombocytopenia, which were considered to be related to chemotherapy drugs. The overall AEs of pyrotinib were controllable with high safety.

This study has several limitations, the most important of which is the small sample size and the varied baseline characteristics of the patients, so the experimental data may be subject to a large bias. In addition, the follow-up of the study was not long enough, and important data including PFS of patients could not be obtained, which was unfavorable for further analysis. In addition, whether the amplification level of HER2, the effective time of previous anti-HER2 therapy, etc. affect the efficacy of pyrotinib was not analyzed. Despite these limitations, our study has several important values; first this study provides first-hand data on the efficacy of pyrotinib treatment in the real world and assesses the clinical efficacy of pyrotinib in combination with other chemotherapeutic drugs except capecitabine, which may have important guidance for treatment in the clinic. Secondly, this provides supplementary data for the upcoming registration clinical trial in China.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, our study showed that pyrotinib combined with chemotherapy in the treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer has a significant effect, with overall controllable side effects and high safety.
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